

# Improving Data Efficiency for Plant Cover Prediction with Label Interpolation and Monte-Carlo Cropping - Supplementary Material

Matthias Körschens<sup>1,2</sup>[0000-0002-0755-2006], Solveig Franziska Bucher<sup>1,2,3</sup>[0000-0002-2303-4583], Christine Römermann<sup>1,2,3</sup>[0000-0003-3471-0951], and Joachim Denzler<sup>1,2,3</sup>[0000-0002-3193-3300]

<sup>1</sup> Friedrich Schiller University, D-07743 Jena, Germany

<sup>2</sup> German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig, D-04103 Leipzig, Germany

<sup>3</sup> Michael Stifel Center Jena, D-07743 Jena, Germany

{matthias.koerschens,solveig.franziska.bucher,  
christine.roemermann,jochim.denzler}@uni-jena.de

## 1 Monte-Carlo Cropping - Numerical Results

In Table 1 the numerical values for our experiments with Monte-Carlo Cropping with weekly images and labels are shown, and in Table 2 the results for the experiments with the interpolated daily labels.

Table 1: Comparison of weekly images with original reference estimates trained with MCC with differing patch sizes and sample counts. Top results are marked in **bold font**.

Patch Size #Patches	64			128			256		
	MSAE	IoU	DPC	MSAE	IoU	DPC	MSAE	IoU	DPC
<b>Epochs</b>									
<b>3</b>	0.577 ±0.007	0.145 ±0.005	0.648 ±0.020	0.582 ±0.004	0.150 ±0.004	0.653 ±0.024	0.579 ±0.007	0.139 ±0.017	0.610 ±0.060
<b>6</b>	0.540 ±0.006	0.186 ±0.012	0.728 ±0.006	0.539 ±0.003	0.197 ±0.001	0.742 ±0.010	0.536 ±0.003	0.194 ±0.006	0.727 ±0.022
<b>10</b>	0.529 ±0.005	0.205 ±0.002	0.738 ±0.009	0.532 ±0.007	0.206 ±0.005	0.754 ±0.004	0.531 ±0.013	0.207 ±0.000	0.739 ±0.018
<b>15</b>	0.518 ±0.004	0.208 ±0.003	0.742 ±0.018	0.518 ±0.005	0.209 ±0.004	0.753 ±0.013	0.523 ±0.002	0.215 ±0.001	0.745 ±0.023
<b>25</b>	0.517 ±0.004	0.212 ±0.006	0.742 ±0.017	0.517 ±0.002	<b>0.220</b> ±0.003	0.752 ±0.002	0.523 ±0.002	0.217 ±0.004	0.742 ±0.004
<b>40</b>	0.523 ±0.004	0.219 ±0.002	0.746 ±0.007	0.527 ±0.003	0.212 ±0.006	0.746 ±0.003	0.529 ±0.005	0.216 ±0.001	0.739 ±0.012

  

Patch Size #Patches	16			256			64		
	MSAE	IoU	DPC	MSAE	IoU	DPC	MSAE	IoU	DPC
<b>Epochs</b>									
<b>3</b>	0.555 ±0.003	0.147 ±0.014	0.682 ±0.028	0.557 ±0.002	0.155 ±0.005	0.651 ±0.042	0.560 ±0.001	0.153 ±0.011	0.656 ±0.045
<b>6</b>	0.516 ±0.003	0.193 ±0.004	0.753 ±0.005	0.513 ±0.002	0.199 ±0.005	0.755 ±0.013	0.513 ±0.001	0.196 ±0.004	0.751 ±0.011
<b>10</b>	0.507 ±0.003	0.200 ±0.006	0.755 ±0.013	0.504 ±0.003	0.206 ±0.007	0.764 ±0.012	0.506 ±0.002	0.205 ±0.005	0.761 ±0.009
<b>15</b>	0.502 ±0.004	0.207 ±0.005	0.766 ±0.003	0.505 ±0.003	0.210 ±0.005	0.762 ±0.008	0.501 ±0.002	0.213 ±0.002	0.758 ±0.004
<b>25</b>	0.499 ±0.003	0.208 ±0.005	0.762 ±0.007	0.503 ±0.006	0.214 ±0.005	0.759 ±0.002	0.500 ±0.006	0.216 ±0.005	0.763 ±0.005
<b>40</b>	0.500 ±0.001	0.211 ±0.003	0.762 ±0.003	0.503 ±0.001	0.212 ±0.009	0.762 ±0.007	0.507 ±0.004	0.217 ±0.007	0.758 ±0.003

Table 1: (Continued) Comparison of weekly images with original reference estimates trained with MCC with differing patch sizes and sample counts. Top results are marked in **bold font**.

Patch Size #Patches	512								
	4			8			16		
Epochs	MSAE	IoU	DPC	MSAE	IoU	DPC	MSAE	IoU	DPC
<b>3</b>	0.554	0.154	0.702	0.551	0.157	0.682	0.551	0.159	0.668
	$\pm 0.005$	$\pm 0.004$	$\pm 0.023$	$\pm 0.004$	$\pm 0.008$	$\pm 0.023$	$\pm 0.004$	$\pm 0.005$	$\pm 0.028$
<b>6</b>	0.512	0.189	0.760	0.509	0.191	0.764	0.509	0.193	0.764
	$\pm 0.004$	$\pm 0.007$	$\pm 0.015$	$\pm 0.003$	$\pm 0.005$	$\pm 0.007$	$\pm 0.001$	$\pm 0.003$	$\pm 0.009$
<b>10</b>	0.501	0.193	0.771	0.496	0.198	<b>0.777</b>	0.500	0.202	0.772
	$\pm 0.003$	$\pm 0.010$	$\pm 0.003$	$\pm 0.001$	$\pm 0.010$	$\pm 0.008$	$\pm 0.004$	$\pm 0.004$	$\pm 0.007$
<b>15</b>	0.498	0.193	0.764	0.496	0.205	0.766	0.496	0.204	0.772
	$\pm 0.001$	$\pm 0.011$	$\pm 0.011$	$\pm 0.000$	$\pm 0.006$	$\pm 0.006$	$\pm 0.001$	$\pm 0.005$	$\pm 0.008$
<b>25</b>	0.495	0.201	0.766	0.494	0.205	0.758	0.495	0.209	0.760
	$\pm 0.001$	$\pm 0.001$	$\pm 0.004$	$\pm 0.001$	$\pm 0.008$	$\pm 0.007$	$\pm 0.002$	$\pm 0.003$	$\pm 0.007$
<b>40</b>	0.494	0.194	0.761	0.492	0.200	0.764	0.491	0.205	0.766
	$\pm 0.003$	$\pm 0.005$	$\pm 0.003$	$\pm 0.003$	$\pm 0.007$	$\pm 0.007$	$\pm 0.002$	$\pm 0.008$	$\pm 0.005$

  

Patch Size #Patches	1024								
	1			2			4		
Epochs	MSAE	IoU	DPC	MSAE	IoU	DPC	MSAE	IoU	DPC
<b>3</b>	0.554	0.148	0.671	0.552	0.151	0.678	0.545	0.153	0.710
	$\pm 0.003$	$\pm 0.000$	$\pm 0.039$	$\pm 0.011$	$\pm 0.011$	$\pm 0.050$	$\pm 0.003$	$\pm 0.010$	$\pm 0.022$
<b>6</b>	0.511	0.171	0.754	0.508	0.185	0.765	0.508	0.182	0.766
	$\pm 0.003$	$\pm 0.003$	$\pm 0.012$	$\pm 0.004$	$\pm 0.001$	$\pm 0.007$	$\pm 0.002$	$\pm 0.009$	$\pm 0.007$
<b>10</b>	0.503	0.173	0.761	0.499	0.180	0.772	0.497	0.197	0.771
	$\pm 0.005$	$\pm 0.008$	$\pm 0.013$	$\pm 0.002$	$\pm 0.012$	$\pm 0.001$	$\pm 0.002$	$\pm 0.002$	$\pm 0.006$
<b>15</b>	0.498	0.186	0.762	0.496	0.193	0.761	0.493	0.194	0.772
	$\pm 0.002$	$\pm 0.008$	$\pm 0.011$	$\pm 0.002$	$\pm 0.008$	$\pm 0.010$	$\pm 0.002$	$\pm 0.005$	$\pm 0.009$
<b>25</b>	0.493	0.177	0.751	<b>0.489</b>	0.186	0.753	<b>0.489</b>	0.199	0.758
	$\pm 0.004$	$\pm 0.009$	$\pm 0.006$	$\pm 0.002$	$\pm 0.012$	$\pm 0.009$	$\pm 0.001$	$\pm 0.010$	$\pm 0.005$
<b>40</b>	0.493	0.166	0.752	0.490	0.178	0.759	<b>0.489</b>	0.188	0.762
	$\pm 0.002$	$\pm 0.010$	$\pm 0.013$	$\pm 0.001$	$\pm 0.009$	$\pm 0.009$	$\pm 0.002$	$\pm 0.010$	$\pm 0.014$

Table 2: Comparison of daily images with original reference estimates and interpolated ones trained with MCC with differing patch sizes and sample counts. Top results are marked in **bold font**.

Patch Size #Patches	64			128			256		
	MSAE	IoU	DPC	MSAE	IoU	DPC	MSAE	IoU	DPC
<b>Epochs</b>									
<b>3</b>	0.517 ±0.003	0.205 ±0.004	0.753 ±0.006	0.519 ±0.004	0.207 ±0.006	0.763 ±0.003	0.520 ±0.007	0.210 ±0.005	0.759 ±0.017
<b>6</b>	0.516 ±0.004	0.223 ±0.006	0.759 ±0.004	0.526 ±0.001	0.225 ±0.007	0.754 ±0.001	0.526 ±0.005	0.230 ±0.008	0.756 ±0.002
<b>10</b>	0.523 ±0.001	0.229 ±0.005	0.756 ±0.014	0.529 ±0.005	<b>0.232</b> ±0.003	0.756 ±0.016	0.537 ±0.006	0.227 ±0.004	0.743 ±0.005
<b>15</b>	0.532 ±0.002	0.227 ±0.006	0.757 ±0.016	0.541 ±0.008	0.221 ±0.003	0.751 ±0.017	0.544 ±0.007	0.222 ±0.002	0.745 ±0.016

  

Patch Size #Patches	16			256			64		
	MSAE	IoU	DPC	MSAE	IoU	DPC	MSAE	IoU	DPC
<b>Epochs</b>									
<b>3</b>	0.499 ±0.003	0.207 ±0.001	0.776 ±0.005	0.500 ±0.005	0.205 ±0.007	0.777 ±0.009	0.501 ±0.003	0.207 ±0.005	0.775 ±0.002
<b>6</b>	0.499 ±0.002	0.214 ±0.006	0.768 ±0.005	0.498 ±0.003	0.223 ±0.007	0.769 ±0.001	0.502 ±0.003	0.228 ±0.007	0.763 ±0.004
<b>10</b>	0.501 ±0.003	0.223 ±0.010	0.769 ±0.005	0.507 ±0.001	0.224 ±0.003	0.771 ±0.004	0.506 ±0.003	0.227 ±0.016	0.769 ±0.003
<b>15</b>	0.504 ±0.006	0.211 ±0.011	0.775 ±0.003	0.511 ±0.004	0.211 ±0.007	0.775 ±0.005	0.512 ±0.002	0.208 ±0.012	0.777 ±0.003

Table 2: (Continued) Comparison of daily images with original reference estimates and interpolated ones trained with MCC with differing patch sizes and sample counts. Top results are marked in **bold font**.

Patch Size #Patches	512								
	4			8			16		
Epochs	MSAE	IoU	DPC	MSAE	IoU	DPC	MSAE	IoU	DPC
<b>3</b>	0.496	0.193	0.783	0.492	0.198	<b>0.784</b>	0.490	0.202	0.780
	$\pm 0.001$	$\pm 0.008$	$\pm 0.005$	$\pm 0.002$	$\pm 0.012$	$\pm 0.007$	$\pm 0.003$	$\pm 0.004$	$\pm 0.004$
<b>6</b>	0.492	0.195	0.769	0.494	0.211	0.768	0.494	0.218	0.776
	$\pm 0.003$	$\pm 0.007$	$\pm 0.005$	$\pm 0.002$	$\pm 0.013$	$\pm 0.005$	$\pm 0.004$	$\pm 0.008$	$\pm 0.003$
<b>10</b>	0.492	0.201	0.772	0.490	0.209	0.770	0.490	0.214	0.774
	$\pm 0.002$	$\pm 0.012$	$\pm 0.006$	$\pm 0.003$	$\pm 0.007$	$\pm 0.007$	$\pm 0.001$	$\pm 0.012$	$\pm 0.006$
<b>15</b>	0.493	0.186	0.772	0.493	0.197	0.775	0.498	0.197	0.778
	$\pm 0.003$	$\pm 0.007$	$\pm 0.009$	$\pm 0.002$	$\pm 0.007$	$\pm 0.004$	$\pm 0.005$	$\pm 0.009$	$\pm 0.007$

  

Patch Size #Patches	1024								
	1			2			4		
Epochs	MSAE	IoU	DPC	MSAE	IoU	DPC	MSAE	IoU	DPC
<b>3</b>	0.498	0.176	0.775	0.494	0.183	0.778	0.492	0.194	0.780
	$\pm 0.004$	$\pm 0.013$	$\pm 0.005$	$\pm 0.003$	$\pm 0.009$	$\pm 0.006$	$\pm 0.001$	$\pm 0.010$	$\pm 0.007$
<b>6</b>	0.492	0.162	0.766	0.488	0.187	0.774	0.490	0.198	0.770
	$\pm 0.004$	$\pm 0.015$	$\pm 0.002$	$\pm 0.001$	$\pm 0.012$	$\pm 0.003$	$\pm 0.001$	$\pm 0.015$	$\pm 0.003$
<b>10</b>	0.490	0.168	0.769	<b>0.487</b>	0.172	0.770	0.489	0.193	0.769
	$\pm 0.000$	$\pm 0.012$	$\pm 0.005$	$\pm 0.002$	$\pm 0.009$	$\pm 0.003$	$\pm 0.001$	$\pm 0.006$	$\pm 0.002$
<b>15</b>	0.489	0.161	0.772	0.488	0.163	0.772	0.489	0.172	0.772
	$\pm 0.002$	$\pm 0.009$	$\pm 0.005$	$\pm 0.004$	$\pm 0.021$	$\pm 0.004$	$\pm 0.003$	$\pm 0.023$	$\pm 0.003$