1 When tree rings go global: challenges and opportunities for retro-

2 and prospective insight

- 3 Flurin Babst^{1,2,3*}, Paul Bodesheim⁴, Noah Charney⁵, Andrew D. Friend⁶, Martin P. Girardin⁷,
- 4 Stefan Klesse³, David J.P. Moore⁸, Kristina Seftigen⁹, Jesper Björklund^{1,10}, Olivier
- 5 Bouriaud¹¹, Andria Dawson^{8,12}, R. Justin DeRose¹³, Michael C. Dietze¹⁴, Annemarie H.
- 6 Eckes⁶, Brian Enquist⁵, David C. Frank^{1,3}, Miguel D. Mahecha⁴, Benjamin Poulter¹⁵, Sydne
- 7 Record¹⁶, Valerie Trouet^{3,8}, Rachael H. Turton⁶, Zhen Zhang^{1,17} & Margaret E.K. Evans³
- 8 ¹Dendro Sciences Group, Swiss Federal Research Institute WSL, Zürcherstrasse 111, CH-
- 9 8903 Birmensdorf, Switzerland
- 10 ²W. Szafer Institute of Botany, Polish Academy of Sciences, ul. Lubicz 46, 31-512 Krakow,
- 11 Poland
- 12 ³Laboratory of Tree-Ring Research, University of Arizona, 1215 E Lowell St, Tucson, AZ-
- 13 85721, USA
- 14 ⁴Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Jena, Germany
- 15 ⁵Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Arizona, Tucson AZ, USA
- 16 ⁶Department of Geography, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, England
- 17 ⁷Laurentian Forestry Centre, Natural Resources Canada, Quebec, Canada
- 18 ⁸School of Natural Resources and the Environment, University of Arizona, Tucson, USA
- 19 ⁹Department of Earth Sciences, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden
- 20 ¹⁰Czech University of Life Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic
- 21 ¹¹Faculty of Forestry, Stefan cel Mare University of Suceava, Strada Universității 13,
- 22 Suceava 720229, Romania.
- 23 ¹²Department of General Education, Mount Royal University, Calgary, Alberta, Canada
- 24 ¹³Rocky Mountain Research Station, US Department of Agriculture, Ogden UT, USA
- 25 ¹⁴Earth and Environment, Boston University, USA
- 26 ¹⁵NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland 20771, USA
- 27 ¹⁶Department of Biology, Bryn Mawr College, Bryn Mawr, USA
- 28 ¹⁷Department of Geographical Sciences, University of Maryland, College Park, USA
- 29 *Corresponding author:
- 30 Dr. Flurin Babst
- 31 Swiss Federal Research Institute WSL
- 32 Zürcherstr. 111
- 33 8903 Birmensdorf
- 34 Switzerland
- 35 Email: <u>flurinbabst@gmail.com</u>
- 36 Phone: +48 579 516 164
- 37 Key words:

38 Dendrochronology, scaling, data integration, climate change, forest growth, vegetation
39 models, remote sensing, forest inventory, Anthropocene

40

41 Abstract

42 The demand for large-scale and long-term information on tree growth is increasing rapidly as 43 environmental change research strives to quantify and forecast the impacts of continued 44 warming on forest ecosystems. This demand, combined with the now quasi-global 45 availability of tree-ring observations, has inspired researchers to compile large tree-ring 46 networks to address continental or even global-scale research questions. However, these 47 emergent spatial objectives contrast with paleo-oriented research ideas that have guided the 48 development of many existing records. A series of challenges related to how, where, and 49 when samples have been collected is complicating the transition of tree rings from a local to a 50 global resource on the question of tree growth. Herein, we review possibilities to scale tree-51 ring data (A) from the sample to the whole tree, (B) from the tree to the site, and (C) from the 52 site to larger spatial domains. Representative tree-ring sampling supported by creative 53 statistical approaches is thereby key to robustly capture the heterogeneity of climate-growth 54 responses across forested landscapes. We highlight the benefits of combining the temporal 55 information embedded in tree rings with the spatial information offered by forest inventories 56 and earth observations to quantify tree growth and its drivers. In addition, we show how the 57 continued development of mechanistic tree-ring models can help address some of the non-58 linearities and feedbacks that complicate making inference from tree-ring data. By embracing 59 scaling issues, the discipline of dendrochronology will greatly increase its contributions to 60 assessing climate impacts on forests and support the development of adaptation strategies.

61 **1. Introduction**

62 1.1. An increasing need to scale tree-ring data

63 Climate change during the Anthropocene is now considered a certainty (Marotzke et al., 64 2017) and environmental research focuses increasingly on quantifying and forecasting the 65 impacts of continued warming on ecosystems and natural resources. Forests receive 66 particular attention because they absorb large amounts of excess atmospheric CO₂ generated 67 by human activities (Le Quéré et al., 2016) and store this carbon in woody biomass for 68 decades to centuries (Körner, 2017). Importantly, rising temperatures can have either 69 beneficial or detrimental effects on forests, depending on their present climatic limitations 70 (Babst et al., 2013; Charney et al., 2016; St George and Ault, 2014). For instance, climate 71 warming in cold-humid areas can stimulate tree growth through a prolonged growing season 72 and more rapid cellular development (Cuny et al., 2014; Rossi et al., 2016). In drier regions, a 73 warming-induced increase in atmospheric water demand triggers physiological responses in 74 trees that lower hydraulic conductivity, reduce the production and allocation of carbohydrates 75 to structural growth, and ultimately increase tree mortality (Adams et al., 2017). This 76 continuum of possible consequences from warming provides an incentive to understand how 77 changes in the biotic and abiotic environment affect forest ecosystem processes across a 78 range of spatial and temporal scales.

79

Measurements of secondary growth patterns in trees, shrubs, and perennial herbs (subsequently called "tree rings") are the primary resource to retrospectively provide tree growth information across large environmental gradients and at sub-annual to multicentennial time scales. Such data are increasingly used to study the impacts of global change on forest ecosystems. A number of recent studies have compiled large tree-ring networks to hind- and forecast forest growth variability in response to climate (Babst et al., 2013; 86 Charney et al., 2016; Martin - Benito and Pederson, 2015; Restaino et al., 2016; St George 87 and Ault, 2014; Tei et al., 2017), track the recovery of growth after extreme events 88 (Anderegg et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2017), relate growth variability to canopy dynamics 89 (Vicente-Serrano et al., 2016, Seftigen et al., in press), or search for signals of CO₂ 90 fertilization (Frank et al., 2015; Gedalof and Berg, 2010; Girardin et al., 2016; Peñuelas et al., 91 2011). In addition, tree-ring data are increasingly used to quantify aboveground biomass 92 increment (Babst et al., 2014b), improve our physiological understanding of wood formation 93 (Rathgeber et al., 2016), and calibrate mechanistic models for climate reconstruction (Guiot 94 et al., 2014).

Table 1: Definitions of important terms used in this review (partly inspired by Scholes, 2017)

Term	Definition			
Scale (noun)	Spatial extent and/or temporal duration.			
Scale (verb)	Extrapolation or projection of a result from one scale to another. Herein, we			
	focus primarily on the scaling of forest growth and biomass increment (as			
	opposed to, e.g., scaling from local to global temperature variations; Neukom			
	et al., 2014). Linear scaling (i.e., proportional or additive scaling) assumes			
	that the driving processes are homogeneous over the scale range and that no			
	interactions in space or time impose non-linearities. An example is the			
	scaling of forest biomass increment from a sample of 0.1-hectare forest plots			
	to a 10,000-hectare landscape. If heterogeneities (e.g., in forest type or time-			
	since-disturbance) make simple linear scaling inaccurate, power-law scaling			
	can capture nonlinearities across scales. For example, the scaling of bole			
	diameter to whole-tree biomass involves allometric (power-law) equations,			
	that are usually empirically derived, but may be (quasi-)mechanistic.			
Downscaling	The process of disaggregation of a result to a smaller scale; i.e., a few-to-			
	many problem. Climate system downscaling is a well-known example. The			
	aggregated result is known; the challenge is to assign values (along with			
	uncertainty) to the underlying subunits, according to some information about			
	their heterogeneity.			
Upscaling	The process of aggregation to a larger scale; i.e., a many-to-few problem. An			
	example is the upscaling of information from many trees within a site to a			
	single site-level estimate (e.g. a mean site chronology). Another example is			
	the summing of biomass increment estimates from all trees in a forest plot to			
	reach a stand-level estimate of biomass increment.			

97

98 Tree-ring records are available on all forested continents (Babst et al., 2017; Brienen et al., 99 2016), inviting the use of existing and the development of new tree-ring archives for a variety 100 of research contexts. However, tree rings remain a very local and variable product of tree-101 internal processes that are modulated by a tree's immediate biotic and abiotic environment 102 (Rathgeber et al., 2016). Inference and prediction at large spatial scales based on such local 103 data (involving scaling, interpolation, and projection; Table 1) is challenging and introduces 104 uncertainty that researchers need to be aware of and - to the extent possible - quantify 105 (Figure 1). Scaling is complicated by heterogeneity (Scholes, 2017), for example when a tree-106 ring collection insufficiently represents forest structure, composition, and disturbance 107 regimes across a landscape. Dendrochronologists often counteract heterogeneity by 108 increasing the number of collected samples per tree, site, or region. This approach can indeed 109 reduce uncertainties around the mean record for the desired scale (e.g. a site or regional 110 chronology), but its success for improving spatial representation of tree growth critically 111 depends on the underlying sampling strategy (see below). Another challenge for scaling is 112 that fixed statistical relationships derived from a given dataset may not capture the high 113 dimensionality in driver and response variables, their couplings, non-linear processes and 114 feedbacks. This calls for a better understanding of the true variability in the system and 115 ideally for mechanistic process representation to model tree growth (see Section 4). Given the 116 above context, we find it prudent to briefly pause and examine the potential and challenges 117 associated with scaling tree-ring information before making large-scale inference. Herein, we 118 address the following three upscaling steps:

119

(A) From the sample to the whole tree: Tree-ring samples are collected as cross-sections,
increment cores, or micro-cores. Regardless of the shape or size of samples, individual

122 measurements capture growth only at one position along/around the stem, branch, or 123 root. Multiple samples are thus often collected from the same individual to better capture 124 its growth variability. After visually and statistically ensuring correct dating of each 125 annual growth ring (i.e. "crossdating"; Black et al., 2016; Stokes and Smiley, 1968), the 126 measurements of all samples are generally combined to represent the radial growth of 127 the individual. This first step of upscaling (Table 1) usually involves averaging or 128 pooling, but the representation of tree-level change may be with raw measurements, 129 detrended and/or standardized tree-ring indices, conversion to basal area increment, or 130 other forms of allometric scaling or structural modeling.

131 (B) From the tree to the site: A "site" is the area that encompasses the sampled individuals. 132 Upscaling to the site level means combining the measurements from all individuals into 133 one or multiple time series that are usually referred to as "chronologies". An underlying 134 assumption is thereby that the site is a subsample of a population of trees and the derived 135 chronology is typically regarded as the best estimate of this population's growth 136 variability (Wigley et al., 1984). The criteria for sampling trees within a site vary 137 according to the aims of a given study. For example, old and dominant individuals are 138 selectively sampled for dendroclimatic reconstructions; plot designs, stratified or random 139 samplings are often preferred for dendroecological studies; and trees with specific 140 characteristics (e.g. scars) are targeted to assess the natural disturbance history of a site. 141 Researchers are also interested in within-site variability that is driven by micro-site 142 conditions (e.g. topography Salzer et al., 2014) and may contain relevant ecological 143 information that is otherwise averaged out when only a mean site chronology is 144 calculated (Buras et al., 2016; Peters et al., 1981).

(C) From the site to larger spatial scales: Site records are compiled into tree-ring networks
to cover regions or continents. Depending on the research question, these networks are

147 either assessed in their entirety, or sites may be grouped and analyzed by species (Zhang 148 et al., 2018), growth variability (Seim et al., 2015), growth trends (Hellmann et al., 149 2016), climate response (Babst et al., 2013; Björklund et al., 2017; Charney et al., 2016; 150 Martin - Benito and Pederson, 2015), or biogeography (Girardin et al., 2016). Moreover, 151 spatial assessments often include analyses of climate-growth relationships - sometimes 152 combined with clustering techniques, dimension reduction, or embedded in a machine 153 learning framework (see Section 2.2.1). The resulting regional records are assumed to 154 represent the geographic space covered by the underlying tree-ring network, an 155 assumption that will need thorough testing in the future (see Sections 2 and 5).

156



157

158 Figure 1: Overview of the different spatial scales and propagating uncertainty associated 159 with the scaling of tree-ring data. Sources of uncertainty are listed for each scaling step 160 (A-C) using Norway spruce (*Picea abies*) as an example. The sample images are adapted 161 and species from (Babst et al., 2014a) the distribution map is from 162 lutherie.net/eurospruce. If scaling steps A-C are carefully followed and uncertainties are 163 adequately considered, tree-ring data can theoretically meet the demand for global 164 information on long-term forest growth. In practice, however, a series of challenges 165 related to how, where, and when samples have been collected accompanies the transition 166 of tree rings from a local to a global data resource (see Section 1.2).

167 *1.2. Challenges associated with scaling tree-ring data*

168 Environmental systems are best represented if the collected data are extensive and distributed 169 systematically or randomly across the target space (e.g. geographic or bioclimatic space). 170 This is not the case for the vast majority of existing tree-ring records, in part because scaling 171 was historically not the goal of dendrochronological sampling. Instead, data collection 172 strategies and methods have been driven by study-specific goals, for example to date 173 archaeological material, detect disturbance events, reconstruct climate, or assess the co-174 variation of tree growth with an environmental variable. Moreover, the scope of tree-ring 175 research has continuously been expanded to include ecophysiology (Levesque et al., 2017), 176 wood anatomy (von Arx et al., 2016), and growth phenology (Cuny et al., 2015; Trouet et al., 177 2012). This is fortunate because these emerging fields are considerably advancing our 178 understanding of tree functioning, which will allow non-linearities and feedbacks to be 179 mechanistically modeled and reconstructed (see Section 4). Yet, their sampling strategies are 180 also not necessarily geared towards representing larger spatial scales with tree-ring 181 observations. This diverse sampling background complicates upscaling of tree-ring 182 information across all three steps:

183

184 (A) **Representing the whole tree:** Mature trees are usually sampled along the lower part of 185 the stem, which is oldest and most accessible. How representative stem growth at this 186 location is for the entire tree body depends on the dynamics of resource allocation and 187 biomass formation. Assessing this variability would at a minimum require sampling 188 individual trees at multiple heights, a laborious technique that is more readily applied to 189 shrubs (Buchwal et al., 2013) but rarely performed on tall trees (but see e.g. Chhin et al., 190 2010; Monserud and Marshall, 2001; van der Maaten-Theunissen and Bouriaud, 2012). 191 In addition, tree boles are never perfect cones and uncertainty due to collecting only few 192 samples around the stem needs to be reduced (Bakker, 2005). Another limitation of most 193 existing tree-ring records is that tree dimensions (e.g. diameter and height) at the time of 194 sampling have not been recorded. This hampers the estimation and reconstruction of 195 whole-tree volume or biomass – and thus the representation of absolute growth (Babst et 196 al., 2014b). Aside from physical sampling, our limited understanding of tree-internal 197 processes can bias ecophysiological conclusions drawn from tree-ring data. For instance, 198 tree-ring stable isotope ratios differ from those of freshly produced carbohydrates in 199 leaves because additional isotopic fractionation and mixing occur during transport and 200 transitory storage (Gessler et al., 2014). These effects are not well understood.

201 (B) **Representing the site:** A traditional focus of tree-ring sampling has been on old and 202 dominant individuals of a single species (Cook et al., 1995) that respond to a strong 203 common environmental driver. This approach has served to maximize the common 204 growth variability among trees, which could then be used, e.g. as a proxy for 205 instrumentally measured climate variables or to reconstruct disturbance events. Such 206 selective sampling clearly hampers the objective of quantifying forest growth, because 207 failure to represent the full tree population at a site and over time can severely bias tree-208 ring estimates of biomass accumulation (Brienen et al., 2017; Nehrbass - Ahles et al., 209 2014; Peters et al., 2015). In addition, the documentation of most tree-ring records in 210 public archives (e.g. the International Tree Ring Data Bank; ITRDB) is insufficient in 211 terms of site extent, species composition, and forest age or size structure.

(C) Representing larger spatial scales: To represent tree growth across regions or even
continents, ideal networks of tree-ring sites densely cover the geographic extent of the
study area and reflect, in proportion to the area they occupy, the range of bioclimatic and
ecological conditions experienced by species within this area. This ideal has probably
rarely been achieved. Instead, traditional sampling for dendroclimatological purposes has

217 often targeted areas with marginal growth conditions, which only occupy a small fraction 218 of the landscape. We note, however, the difficulty of evaluating the spatial 219 representativeness of existing networks because appropriate reference datasets are often 220 lacking (see Section 2.1). If very large amounts of tree-ring data are compiled in mixed-221 species networks, their coverage can be more readily assessed. For example, a recent 222 evaluation of the ITRDB indicated good coverage of climates with a mean annual 223 temperature below 15 °C, whereas the spatial distribution of sites was strongly biased 224 towards North America and Europe (Babst et al., 2017). Yet, even across these well-225 replicated continents, most records are subject to the above-mentioned sampling biases 226 and the lack of biometric measurements restricts analyses to relative (i.e. detrended) 227 growth variability and its climate response (Babst et al., 2013; Charney et al., 2016; St 228 George and Ault, 2014). Going forward, it will be important to develop new tree-ring 229 networks in more consistent and spatially representative ways (see Sections 2 and 3).

230

231 Uncertainties arising from the above-listed challenges may be more or less relevant in the 232 context of a given study, but they generally propagate through all spatial scales (Figure 1). 233 This does not preclude tree rings from being used in global research, but emphasizes the need 234 to i) understand how data are derived and ii) carefully treat data with explicit characterization 235 of uncertainties. Hereafter, we review possibilities to facilitate the scaling of existing and 236 newly collected tree-ring data with emphasis on quantifying tree growth and its drivers across 237 increasingly large geographic and bioclimatic domains. In Section 2, we discuss statistical 238 approaches to derive spatial patterns from existing networks, such as the ITRDB. Section 3 239 highlights possibilities to produce spatially explicit records of forest growth, by integrating 240 the temporal information from tree rings with the spatial information from forest inventories 241 and remotely sensed earth observations. Section 4 describes tree-ring and vegetation models of increasing complexity and scope that can provide a mechanistic understanding of tree growth, which is particularly relevant for predictions into future time frames. In addition to this general review, we provide in each section a practical and illustrative example related to tree ring-based inference at large scales. We end our article with some perspectives for future research.

247

248

2. Spatial patterns from detrended tree-ring data

249 2.1. On the climate sensitivity bias in global archives

250 Assessing the relationships between tree growth and monthly to seasonal climate has been a 251 core objective of many tree-ring network analyses. This is because climate is the most 252 important driver of inter-annual growth variability around the globe (St George and Ault, 253 2014) and long-term instrumental records of temperature, precipitation, and derivatives 254 thereof are readily available. The obtained statistical relationships between radial tree growth 255 and climate variation are strongest in areas where one or few climate parameters are highly 256 limiting for growth (Fritts, 1976), for example at the cold or dry edge of a species' 257 distribution range. These marginal growth environments (where trees often also live long) are 258 frequently targeted by dendroclimatologists to maximize the co-variation of the tree-ring 259 proxy with the desired climate parameter for reconstruction (e.g. Wilson et al., 2016). Hence, 260 it seems likely that - even though palaeoclimatology is only one facet of tree-ring research -261 marginal sites are overrepresented in global tree-ring archives. Depending on its severity, this 262 bias may enhance the derived magnitudes and biogeographic patterns in the climate response 263 of forests (Babst et al., 2013; Charney et al., 2016; St George and Ault, 2014; Zhang et al., 264 2018) and the networks cannot be considered to be fully representative of forest growth at 265 large scales.

267 Quantifying this potential oversensitivity to climate in large tree-ring archives requires the 268 development of new, representative reference networks (see Section 3.1). Initial research in 269 this direction suggests considerable geographic variation in the magnitude of the climate 270 sensitivity bias. For example, Klesse et al (in review a) found that ITRDB tree ring-width 271 records in the US Southwest were 40 to 60% more sensitive to climate variation than 272 surrounding samples collected in forest inventory plots. When the two datasets were used to 273 estimate growth trends in response to projected climate change through 2099 in this region, 274 the ITRDB trees implied a 41% greater decline in growth compared to the representative 275 forest inventory sample. By contrast, a Europe-wide comparison of tree-ring data from the 276 ITRDB against a newly collected network of sample plots showed no significant difference in 277 climate sensitivity (Klesse et al. in review b). Hence, a general statement on the magnitude of 278 the climate sensitivity bias in the ITRDB cannot be made at this point. Further evaluation 279 efforts – including collating existing data not available through public repositories and/or 280 developing new networks of tree-ring records – will be crucial to quantifying existing biases 281 and increasing the representativeness of tree-ring archives for global forest growth. Also, 282 further work on defining and using consistent metrics for sensitivity may be required to 283 elucidate the magnitude and characteristics of this bias.

284

285 2.2. Statistical projection of relative growth variability

The collection of dense tree-ring networks worldwide and in near real-time is impractical. Hence, the goal of upscaling from sites to landscapes (scaling step C, Figure 1) has to be achieved via the statistical projection (or mechanistic modeling, see Section 4) of tree growth across areas where measurements are missing. This is possible using empirically calibrated relationships between tree growth and its abiotic drivers. One limitation of this approach, however, is the small number of available predictor variables that are spatially resolved and 292 cover sufficiently long time scales. Indeed, most remotely sensed earth observation records 293 (e.g. of soil moisture, land cover, or forest disturbance regimes) are still not long enough to 294 allow for the calibration of robust statistical models that could be used to predict tree growth. 295 This leaves long-term gridded climate products (e.g. Harris et al., 2014) as the only option, 296 with associated caveats when used in the context of bioclimatic niches (Ols et al., 2017). 297 Predicting growth variability from climate alone is clearly a simplification of the highly 298 complex set of drivers and responses that shape forests. Accordingly, higher-end calibration 299 statistics for temperature reconstruction have achieved around 50-60% of the variance 300 explained for the instrumental target (Wilson et al., 2016), whereas seasonal climate-growth 301 relationships that emerge from large networks are on average much weaker (St George and 302 Ault, 2014). In addition, the seasonality in climate response changes considerably between 303 species and across climate space (Babst et al., 2013; Cook et al., 2001; Teets et al., 2018), 304 making it impossible to globally attribute growth variability to climate during a single season. 305 For all these reasons, novel and creative statistical approaches are needed to project radial 306 growth variability at large spatial scales.

307

308 2.2.1 Practical Example 1: Towards gridded tree-ring width anomalies for Europe

309 Here we present and evaluate a machine learning approach to produce gridded tree-ring 310 products at continental scales. We thereby pursue a purely statistical approach (as opposed to 311 mechanistic formulations of biophysical processes; see Section 4) and estimate relative radial 312 growth variability from a set of climatic predictor variables in a regression model. We used 313 random decision forests (RDF; Breiman, 2001), a well-established technique that provides a 314 flexible framework for learning nonparametric and nonlinear relationships when faced with 315 many and collinear predictors. Our RDF models each contained 100 random decision trees 316 and the final tree-ring width anomalies were predicted by averaging the outputs of each

317	individual decision tree to prevent overfitting. RDF models need to be trained with observed
318	datasets (Figure 2). For this we used European tree-ring width chronologies from the ITRDB,
319	detrended with a 30-year cubic smoothing spline, and climate data from the corresponding
320	CRU TS-3.22 grid cells (Harris et al., 2014). Climate variables included monthly minimum,
321	mean and maximum temperature, diurnal temperature range, ground frost frequency,
322	precipitation, wet day frequency, vapor pressure, potential evapotranspiration, and cloud
323	cover. Climate data from the preceding and current years (24 months in total) were entered in
324	the model to account for lag effects frequently observed in tree-ring data (Zhang et al., 2018).
325	The ITRDB contains enough data (~1000 European sites) to train individual RDF models
326	separately for the most frequent tree genera (Table 2), many of which are primarily
327	represented by one species. In addition, we trained a model where sites from all genera were
328	pooled together. To evaluate model performance, we applied a leave-one-site-out cross-
329	validation, under the condition that a specific chronology was only estimated based on other
330	sites of the same genus that do not fall within the same CRU TS-3.22 grid cell (i.e. to prevent
331	biases).

	Monthly predictor variables		Seasonal predictor variables	
Genus	MEF	RMSE	MEF	RMSE
Abies	0.329	0.146	0.261	0.527
Fagus	0.313	0.179	0.257	0.512
Larix	0.158	0.204	0.090	0.302
Picea	0.310	0.127	0.245	0.515
Pinus	0.240	0.130	0.173	0.430
Quercus	0.326	0.136	0.267	0.534
All sites	0.287	0.145	0.225	0.485

Table 2: Performance of random forest regression models for predicting the growth
variability of individual tree genera across Europe, assessed with a leave-one-site-out
validation. Seasonal climatic predictors were aggregated for both the previous and current
years (March – May; June – August; September – November) and the winter in between
(December – February). MEF – Nash-Sutcliffe modeling efficiency; RMSE – root mean
square error

340 Across the entire European network, approximately 29% of the variance was explained (i.e. a 341 Nash-Sutcliffe modeling efficiency (MEF; Nash and Sutcliffe, 1970) of about 0.29; Table 2). 342 Importantly, RDF models with monthly predictors yielded stronger predictive accuracy 343 compared to those with seasonally aggregated predictors. This emphasizes the relevance of 344 changing seasonality in climate response across the represented climatic domains (Babst et al., 345 2013). The RDF models for individual genera performed similarly to the overall model (31-346 33% explained variance), except for *Larix* and *Pinus* where MEF was lower. For *Larix*, this 347 is likely due to well-documented periodic defoliation by the Larch budmoth (Esper et al., 348 2007), which negatively affects growth and partly decouples it from its climatic drivers. 349 Excluding known budmoth years is thus a possibility to improve future RDF predictions. For 350 Pinus, the lower RDF performance could simply be related to the large number of Pinus 351 species that are represented on the ITRDB, which increases both the distribution range and 352 the diversity in climate response.

353

339

354 After the training phase described above, the inferred RDF models were combined with the 355 gridded data products of the CRU TS-3.22 dataset to project radial growth anomalies across 356 Europe, yielding annual raster maps of relative growth variability for each tree genus (Figure 357 S1, Appendix A). Projection excluded those areas falling outside the geographic distribution 358 of a given genus (referencing the 1 km² resolution distribution maps in the European Atlas of 359 Forest Tree Species; de Rigo et al., 2016). Accordingly, a CRU TS-3.22 grid cell (0.5° 360 resolution) was included, if it covered at least one smaller grid cell from the distribution maps 361 that reported a presence of the genus at >5%. Encouragingly, our first results show clear 362 differences in spatial growth variability among genera (Appendix A), even for those that 363 belong to the same plant functional type. In addition to attributing these patterns to specific 364 drivers, we are working on improving the RDF performance. This can potentially be achieved

by including not only the inter-annual climate variability in the models, but also the longterm mean climatic conditions at each site. This way, the contrasting effects of, for instance, a warm anomaly under cold-humid (expected growth increase) *vs.* hot-dry (expected growth decrease) conditions can be better accounted for. Investigations at the species-level, rather than the genus-level, could also be explored in the future for potential improvements in modeling skill. In addition, we aim to consider non-climatic drivers in the RDF models as suitable spatial data become available.



372

Figure 2: Random decision forest approach to produce gridded projections of radial tree
 growth variability. This example includes all *Fagus sylvatica* sites that were available from

the International Tree-Ring Data Bank as of October 2016.

376 *2.3. Spatially varying climate responses of radial tree growth*

377 The statistical exercise presented in Section 2.2.1 assumes that the derived climate-growth 378 relationships apply throughout the study domain, either across all species or in taxonomic 379 groups (genera) - an assumption that we address in the following. It also showed that 380 predicting relative growth variability from climate variability alone leaves a considerable 381 fraction of the variance unexplained. By contrast, changes in the underlying climate-growth 382 relationships should be more straightforward to predict and project because they follow gross 383 biogeographic patterns (Babst et al., 2013; Charney et al., 2016). Indeed, a substantial body 384 of literature has successfully mapped historical climate-growth relationships across space and 385 time (Martin - Benito and Pederson, 2015; Restaino et al., 2016; St George and Ault, 2014). 386 However, if the goal is to interpolate local observations of climate response across the 387 intervening geographic space between unevenly distributed sites, a series of spatial 388 challenges emerges. A first challenge relates to differences in the climate response among 389 species at a given location (Teets et al., 2018). Accounting for such differences requires high-390 resolution maps of species composition for the entire target region, which may not exist 391 everywhere and/or lack in-situ quality assessment (Serra-Diaz et al., 2017). Hence, the 392 influence of species composition on the climate response of forests remains difficult to assess 393 at large scales (Grossiord et al., 2014). A second challenge stems from limited information on 394 micro-climate, nutrient availability, hydrology and topography. Such abiotic micro-site 395 conditions can alter the climate response of trees (Nicklen et al., 2016; Salzer et al., 2009), 396 but high-resolution data across the scaling area are rarely available. These two challenges are 397 compounded by a third challenge: a shortage of tree-ring data for many species and certain 398 ecoregions, especially in the tropics, that are severely under-represented in public archives 399 (Babst et al., 2017).

400

401 These spatial challenges require finding a balance between the level of detail that is 402 considered in an analysis, and the spatial scale that can be reached with the available data. 403 One relatively simple option is to construct a single statistical model that describes growth as 404 a function of the climatic niche that encompasses all trees within the scaling region, 405 regardless of species (e.g. the "all sites" RDF model in Section 2.2.1). If we looked at a slice 406 of this growth-climate function along one climate axis of the niche, we would expect it to 407 look unimodal (Figure 3a). However, the underlying function is multivariate, nonlinear, and 408 relatively data-intensive to parameterize. In addition, this approach ignores species-specific 409 drivers of tree growth (including pests and pathogens, Esper et al., 2007) and assumes that 410 spatial differences in climate response can be solely attributed to gradients in the baseline 411 climate, rather than to differences in species composition, competition, or other co-variates. 412 In other words, site- and species-specific characteristics are averaged out for the sake of 413 generalization, which may be necessary to reach very large spatial domains. In a global 414 context, it may be plausible to subject all species to the same treatment because 415 biogeographic patterns in climate response are generally more pronounced than differences 416 between species (Fritts 1976).



418 **Figure 3:** Idealized growth rate as a function of a single climate variable across the target 419 niche (a). This function can be approximated by a series of linear segments obtained from

420 local climate response zones (b).

421

422 A refined version of this approach, while still pooling all species, is to construct separate 423 growth-climate functions for geographic sub-areas ("response zones") of the target domain 424 (Charney et al., 2016). This allows approximating the global response curve with a series of 425 local linear models specific to these response zones (Figure 3b). The zones themselves could 426 be based on existing ecoregions (Omernik and Griffith, 2014) or other (e.g. geological) 427 criteria to account for some of the missing non-climatic co-variates. Alternatively, they can 428 be inferred from the climate responses contained in the tree-ring time series themselves. For 429 instance, Charney et al. (2016) defined response zones by first clustering tree-ring sites across 430 North America based on their climate correlation functions and then used an RDF analysis to 431 assign all grid cells on the landscape to one of the clusters according to their baseline 432 climates. This has the significant advantage that, as baseline climates shift in the future, both 433 geographic (i.e. poleward) shifts in the response zones and changes in the climate sensitivity 434 itself can be accounted for. Moving forward, a further refinement could be to capture 435 variation in the local slopes of climate-growth relationships using models that include both 436 long-term baseline climates and short-term climate anomalies (and interactions between 437 them) as predictors of growth. In particular, this would capture continuous variation in 438 climate-growth responses across climatic gradients.

439

440 Clearly the most precise approach would be to construct the growth-climate function 441 including the effects of individual species. Besides considering species-specific 442 characteristics, this would also account for the fact that populations near the distribution limit 443 are genetically adapted to respond less strongly to variability in limiting climatic drivers 444 (Housset et al., 2018). However, detailed maps of species locations and composition would 445 still be required to represent the actual climate response at a given location (de Rigo et al.,

446 2016; Serra-Diaz et al., 2017) and weight the species-specific responses in a mixed species 447 system. In addition, representative tree-ring data from across the entire target space are 448 needed, which are currently not available for most species. Establishing this observational 449 basis through data mining initiatives and especially the development of new and spatially 450 representative tree-ring networks will be key to enabling the projection of species-specific 451 climate responses with precision.

452

453 In contrast to the spatial challenges described above, temporal limitations to empirically 454 forecasting the climate response will not be resolved by extensive and representative 455 sampling. One reason for this is that the overlap between tree-ring and instrumental data is 456 often limited to a few decades and extrapolation to future time frames is thus based on 457 relatively short-term observations. This is problematic because the climate response is not 458 only determined by how tree growth responds to climate on an inter-annual basis, but can be 459 modified by longer-term climate patterns (Madrigal-González et al., 2017; Mendivelso et al., 460 2014) that are not captured in short time series. In addition, there may be a compounding 461 effect when "ecological memory" leads to lagged responses after disturbances or climate 462 anomalies (Ogle et al., 2015), or when a recurring climate anomaly alters the growth response 463 itself (Brzostek et al., 2014; Galiano et al., 2012). For example, one hot summer may lead to 464 only a minor decrease in growth rate in a drought-prone region, whereas a sequence of hot 465 summers can cause increasingly dramatic growth declines. By contrast, there can be 466 acclimation, wherein the recurrence of a climate anomaly (e.g. drought) lessens the strength 467 of the growth response (Ainsworth and Long, 2005; Farrior et al., 2015). This is possible 468 because trees are plastic organisms that can shift their resources over time, e.g. by growing 469 more roots, restructuring branches, thickening the bark, or decreasing leaf size. Such 470 physiological changes allow trees to better conserve water and return to normal growth more 471 rapidly after a drought episode. Moreover, ecosystem-level responses to climate change will 472 also influence the growth of trees. For example, drought induced mortality (Adams et al., 473 2017) often results in a reduction in stand density and living biomass. This process is similar 474 to selective thinning that enhances growth and survival by sharing of available resources 475 amongst fewer individuals (Clark et al., 2016). In addition, when we aim to forecast over 476 time periods of generations, we have to consider the possibility of genetic adaptation and 477 species migration (Aitken et al., 2008; Housset et al., 2018). Both of these processes tend to 478 make future generations of trees growing at a location better suited to the new climate than 479 the preceding generations. Finally, the trees of the future are likely to experience different 480 combinations of temperature, precipitation, and atmospheric CO₂ concentrations than those in 481 the past (Ainsworth and Long, 2005; Frank et al., 2015). Hence, any attempt to statistically 482 forecast based on stationary observations from the past is always associated with increased 483 uncertainty (Gustafson, 2013). For all of these reasons, an advanced mechanistic 484 understanding of tree growth and climate response is needed (see Section 4).

485

486 **3.** Integration of tree rings with other ecological or Earth observations

487 Tree-ring data offer decadal- to multi-centennial-length records of radial tree growth at 488 annual to sub-annual resolution, allowing growth variability and its drivers to be investigated 489 through time. However, quantifying absolute tree- and site-level growth (scaling steps A and 490 B, Figure 1) from tree rings requires additional information about tree architecture (i.e. 491 allometries) and forest stand characteristics. This information is increasingly available from 492 forest inventories and remotely sensed earth observations. In turn, tree-ring data can help 493 compensate for the coarse temporal resolution of forest inventories (plots are typically 494 revisited once every 3-10 years) and the generally short time-series of both data streams. 495 Bringing together the temporal and spatial strengths of these three types of observations 496 provides new opportunities to quantify tree growth across a range of scales (Zuidema and497 Frank, 2015).

498

3.1. Forest inventories

500 Tree rings have been used to assess tree growth in a forestry context since the mid-19th 501 century, but it is only recently that collections made by forest inventory programs or in other 502 permanent sample plots are being developed into data networks. Examples of these initiatives 503 include Canada (Duchesne et al., 2017), the western United States (DeRose et al., 2017), 504 Romania (Bouriaud et al., 2016), Mexico (G. Gutierez-Garcia, pers. comm.), and parts of the 505 tropics (Brienen et al., 2016). These data have been used, for instance, to detect signals of 506 CO_2 fertilization (Girardin et al., 2016) or to assess shifts in growth response to climate 507 (Charru et al., 2017; D'Orangeville et al., 2016). Here we describe opportunities to quantify 508 trends and temporal variability of tree growth that emerge from this type of tree-ring network. 509 We also discuss statistical tools for integrating tree-ring with forest inventory data and 510 thereby move beyond the traditional statistical modeling based solely on the principle 511 limiting factors (Fritts, 1976). Finally, we identify some of the challenges that remain for 512 combining tree-ring and forest inventory data into long-term records.

513

514 Collecting tree-ring data in a forest plot context can have three major advantages with respect 515 to the scaling and projection of growth or aboveground biomass increment (ABI): 1) 516 sampling can be performed in a comparatively representative or unbiased manner, 2) absolute 517 rather than relative tree growth can be quantified, and 3) the inventory offers complementary 518 information on the characteristics of the forest stand in which a tree is growing. Together, 519 these advantages help overcome some of the limitations for estimating biomass growth 520 associated with traditional tree-ring sampling (see Section 1.2). National forest inventory 521 (NFI) programs are specifically designed to make estimates of forest characteristics (area or 522 volume of forest; number and dimensions of trees) at large spatial scales from carefully 523 designed networks of sampling plots (Bechtold and Patterson, 2005). The design may vary 524 from one political entity to another (McRoberts et al., 2009), but their spatial representation 525 of forested areas is essentially unparalleled. Within plots, the collection of increment cores in 526 an objective manner with respect to tree species and size or age classes attempts to make 527 sampling more representative of a forest (and overall forest growth) compared to that 528 designed for dendroclimatological purposes (Nehrbass - Ahles et al., 2014). Tree-ring data 529 collected in forest plots that are not part of an NFI (e.g. (Davis et al., 2009; Klesse et al., 530 2016) also make useful contributions to the overarching goal of building representative 531 networks, particularly when the plots are arranged along environmental gradients (e.g., 532 Buechling et al., 2017; Foster et al., 2016; Rollinson et al., 2016; Sánchez-Salguero et al., 533 2015). Ensuring "representativeness" within forest stands and across landscapes is key to 534 addressing the heterogeneities, nonlinearities, and feedbacks that make scaling a challenge 535 (Scholes, 2017).

536

537 Increment cores collected in forest plots are usually associated with measurements of tree 538 dimensions and stand conditions. A measurement of diameter at breast height (DBH) at the 539 time of sampling makes it possible to reconstruct annual tree diameter (Bakker, 2005), which 540 can then be transformed into absolute estimates of tree growth (Alexander et al., 2017; Babst 541 et al., 2014b). Analyzing absolute growth is key to addressing questions about the role of 542 forests in the terrestrial carbon cycle and integrating tree-ring data with observed or simulated 543 forest productivity (Babst et al., 2014a, Klesse et al. in review b). In this context, metrics like 544 basal area increment (BAI) and ABI are more useful and interpretable than relative growth 545 variability generated by detrending raw tree-level measurements (Cook et al., 1995) to 546 construct a site-level chronology. Besides the associated loss of inter-tree variability in 547 absolute growth rates, detrending is one of the most subjective and debated aspects of tree-548 ring research because the choice of method critically affects the environmental information 549 that is preserved in ring-width time-series (Cook, 1987; Melvin and Briffa, 2008; Sullivan 550 and Csank, 2016).

551

552 Individual tree growth is also influenced by competition from neighboring trees, and in a 553 carbon accounting context it becomes critical to quantify, understand, and project such 554 demography-driven changes in forest growth (Chen et al., 2016; Trotsiuk et al., 2016). 555 Capturing the influence of competition on individual tree growth is also key to scaling step B 556 (Figure 4) because individual tree growth both influences and is influenced by forest stand 557 basal area, forming a self-regulating (density-dependent) feedback. Tree-ring data collected 558 in a forest plot context allow for modeling the influence of forest stand conditions explicitly, 559 as exemplified in several recent studies (Buechling et al., 2017; Foster et al., 2016; Rollinson 560 et al., 2016; Sánchez-Salguero et al., 2015). Accounting for such in-situ information in 561 statistical models is expected to produce more realistic predictions of tree growth compared 562 to those based exclusively on climate variability.



Figure 4: Scaling of tree growth from observations of bole diameter and tree-ring width to tree- and site-level aboveground biomass (AGB) involves upscaling steps A and B. Forest

plot data provide information on the drivers of tree growth, including site factors such asslope, aspect, soil conditions, stand-level basal area, and climate.

569

570 These three characteristics of tree-ring data collected in a forest plot context -571 representativeness, absolute growth, and accompanying information on the forest stand and 572 sampling design therein – enable the scaling from individual observations of bole diameter 573 and radial increments to stand- and landscape-scale biomass accumulation (Figure 4). Annual 574 reconstructions of DBH can be transformed to whole tree biomass increments using 575 allometric equations (scaling step A; Forrester et al., 2017). We note that the use of 576 allometric equations is associated with its own set of uncertainties (Alexander et al., 2017; 577 Nickless et al., 2011), some of which can be constrained with additional information derived 578 from tree rings. For example, time series of wood density variation, combined with allometric 579 estimates of tree volume, can improve estimates of whole-tree biomass increment (Bouriaud 580 et al., 2015; Clough et al., 2017). Tree-level biomass increment can then be summed across 581 individuals in the plot and adjusted by a known expansion factor (step B). Subsequently, the 582 plot-level biomass estimates can be scaled to the target population using plot-level expansion 583 factors or pre-determined sample-based estimators (Bechtold and Patterson, 2005). 584 Alternatively, plot-level estimates are projected onto some other spatial scale using remote 585 sensing observations (step C; Section 3.2; Jucker et al., 2017).

586

Integration of tree-ring and other forest inventory data can also take the form of data assimilation. The two data streams can, for example, be assimilated using a state-space model (Clark et al., 2007), or a hierarchical Bayesian model with two respective regressions linked by a constant of proportionality (Evans et al., 2017). Both of these statistical approaches can additionally take advantage of bole diameter re-measurement data for mixed datasets composed of trees with and without increment cores, and model the multiple influences on the growth of all individual trees explicitly. Assimilation of these two sources of information

594 that describe the common process of tree growth advances our understanding of that process, 595 while refining estimates of both process variability and measurement uncertainty. The ability 596 to quantify both process variability and measurement uncertainty provides the opportunity to 597 improve reconstructions and forecasts of forest growth and productivity at sites for which 598 only one data type is available (Dietze, 2017). Finally, if forest inventory records are 599 sufficiently long to inform about forest mortality, it becomes possible to characterize the 600 relationship between growth and mortality. With a better understanding of the growth-601 mortality relationship, forest growth and productivity can be reconstructed further back in 602 time.

603

604 An important limitation on long-term reconstructions of NFI plot-level growth arises from 605 temporal changes in stand conditions (e.g., demography and competition). Specifically, trees 606 alive at the time of sampling do not necessarily represent a random subset of the trees that 607 once lived (i.e. the forest composition and characteristics back in time). While random or 608 systematic sampling avoids the biases associated with the tree-selection principle of 609 traditional dendroclimatology, other biases remain (e.g., slow-grower survivorship bias or 610 fading record; Brienen et al., 2012; Swetnam et al., 1999). These pitfalls highlight the merits 611 of establishing and maintaining permanent NFI remeasurement plots on a multi-decadal scale 612 that can track temporal changes in stand conditions and complement time-series of climatic 613 predictors in statistical models. However, most existing NFIs do not yet offer sufficient 614 temporal depth to account for forest dynamics. One possible solution is to apply the best 615 available empirical models of stand development (*i.e.*, growth-and-yield models, density 616 management diagrams, empirical succession mapping) to reconstruct past stand conditions. 617 Related (Bayesian) approaches may use state data assimilation or a state-space modeling 618 framework to parameterize models of stand development from experimental forests where 619 data do extend for several decades. Addressing the slow-grower survivorship bias and the 620 competitive influence of trees that are no longer on the landscape will be crucial to fully 621 realizing the research potential of paired tree-ring and forest plot data to reconstruct forest 622 growth in pre-inventory times.

- 623
- 624 *3.2. Earth observations*

625 Remotely sensed earth observations are a crucial tool for large-scale quantification and 626 monitoring of ecosystem dynamics across space, and more recently also across time (e.g. 627 (Zhu et al., 2016). The increasing length of continuous satellite records, e.g. the Landsat Data 628 Continuity Mission (LDCM), facilitates integration with temporally more coarsely resolved 629 data such as tree rings (Vicente-Serrano et al., 2016). In addition, we emphasize here that the 630 combination of tree-ring data with earth observations is not restricted to large-scale 631 applications, but that it can support and advance all three scaling steps (Figure 5). This is 632 possible because - independent of the spatial scale - all information derived from remote 633 sensing systems is fundamentally based on relating spectral reflectance data to field 634 measurements via empirical models.





Figure 5: Overview of the spatial scales at which tree-ring and remotely sensed observations
can be integrated to support the three upscaling steps (A-C). dam - decameter

640 Terrestrial light detection and ranging data (LiDAR; also called terrestrial laser scanning, 641 TLS) are the remotely sensed data most relevant at the individual tree scale. The application 642 of TLS systems to characterize forest stands began about a decade ago (see Newnham et al., 643 2015 for a review) and recent methodological advances have included structural modeling of 644 individual trees based on TLS point clouds (Åkerblom et al., 2015). The potential to estimate 645 above-ground (and even below-ground; Liski et al., 2014) biomass from such data is 646 increasingly explored (Calders et al., 2015). But similar to conventional forest inventory data 647 (Section 3.1), TLS does not provide temporal information on tree growth. Hence, the 648 integration of tree-ring and TLS data to reconstruct historical tree dimensions (scaling step A, 649 Figure 1) is promising, because it helps mitigate uncertainties related to the use of allometric 650 functions and may offer a more precise representation of individual tree shapes (Wagner et 651 al., 2017). Application of TLS in dense forest stands can, however, be complicated by

occlusion effects (e.g. bushes or small trees blocking the view of the scanner), weather conditions (wind, precipitation, or fog), and limitations of the scanning device itself. The latter concern is mostly the coarser spatial resolution of distant tree parts (i.e. crowns) compared to that of lower stem parts, as well as the time it takes to scan an entire forest stand from a sufficient number of angles to produce a continuous point cloud. Both these methodological challenges and the expected benefits of integrating TLS data with tree-ring measurements to produce long-term tree volume reconstructions are yet to be explored.

660 Airborne remote sensing is showing the most potential for scaling to the site level (step B, 661 Figure 1). LiDAR can provide three-dimensional information about vegetation structure at 662 local to regional scales and structure from motion photogrammetry (Westoby et al., 2012) can 663 provide approximations thereof. Such information can be calibrated against *in-situ* data of 664 basal area, canopy height, biomass, stand density, or leaf area to assess spatial variability in 665 these parameters (Jucker et al., 2017). If repeated LiDAR flights are available, though still 666 challenging, it is even possible to monitor temporal dynamics in integrated and height-667 specific canopy parameters (Griebel et al., 2017). Temporally resolved LiDAR data are still 668 very rare, but should become more readily available with the increasing use of aircraft 669 (Cunliffe et al., 2016) and drones (Tang and Shao, 2015) in forest monitoring programs. 670 Because of the discontinuous data availability in both space and time, integration of airborne 671 LiDAR with tree-ring records has so far been limited. This link will be strengthened in the 672 future as advances are made on both sides: tree-ring sampling will become spatially more 673 representative (Section 3.1); airborne LiDAR will increasingly be used to characterize not 674 only larger forest stands, but also individual trees (Eysn et al., 2015), which can complement 675 the application of TLS in complex stands. These efforts are converging towards more precise 676 estimation and reconstruction of tree- and stand-level biomass and/or basal area increment.

678	While integration of tree-ring data with terrestrial and airborne LiDAR is still in its infancy,
679	combining tree-ring and spectral data from polar-orbiting satellites is well established.
680	Examples of environmental research that has used this combination include ecology (D'arrigo
681	et al., 2000; Dorman et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2015), entomology (Çoban et al., 2014;
682	Sangüesa-Barreda et al., 2014) and hydrology (Morales et al., 2015). For example, tree-ring
683	data have been used to verify insect defoliation classifications inferred from remote sensing
684	(Babst et al., 2010; Çoban et al., 2014), or as a proxy to reconstruct inter-annual fluctuations
685	in lake area observed from Landsat time series (Morales et al., 2015). The satellite-derived
686	parameter most frequently combined with tree rings has been the Normalized Difference
687	Vegetation Index (NDVI), a measure of vegetation greenness. With now over thirty years of
688	repeated observations, global data products such as the Global Inventory for Mapping and
689	Modeling Studies (GIMMS; Tucker et al., 2005), have allowed for the comparison of tree-
690	ring and NDVI responses to environmental change across a range of spatial and temporal
691	scales (Coulthard et al., 2017; Kaufmann et al., 2004; Vicente-Serrano et al., 2013). The most
692	common approaches have been to either compare the climate signals that are embedded in
693	these two data streams (Del Castillo et al., 2015; Girardin et al., 2014; Pasho and Alla, 2015),
694	or to correlate time series of tree rings and NDVI directly (Beck et al., 2013; Berner et al.,
695	2011; Bunn et al., 2013; D'arrigo et al., 2000; Girardin et al., 2016; Poulter et al., 2013;
696	Vicente-Serrano et al., 2016). Generally, these studies have found a positive correlation of
697	moderate strength between inter-annual NDVI variability and annual tree growth. However,
698	there are notable exceptions along the North American Arctic treeline (Beck et al., 2013), in
699	Europe (Pasho and Alla, 2015), and in parts of Canada (Girardin et al., 2016) where a
700	significant positive correlation is not detected. These previous findings point to two main
701	challenges associated with the integration of tree-ring and satellite observations.

703 The first challenge concerns the mismatch in spatial scale between site-level observations of 704 tree rings and raster data from satellite sensors. The latter integrate surface reflectance 705 information at various spatial scales, e.g. 30 m for Landsat, 250 m for MODIS, and 1-8 km 706 for AVHRR. Each pixel integrates a mixture of species, disturbance histories, and land use 707 activities that may affect the spectral information and complicate the comparison with single-708 species tree-ring chronologies. The second challenge emerges from temporal mismatches 709 between the processes of canopy formation, leaf-level photosynthesis (observed by satellites), 710 and wood formation (integrated in annual rings) in trees. The climate response of 711 photosynthesis is more or less instantaneous, but there is a well-documented time lag 712 between photosynthetic carbon uptake, growth, and biomass increment (Cuny et al., 2015). 713 Furthermore, it is well known that climate variability can have lagged effects on tree growth 714 via the storage and remobilization of carbohydrate reserves (Richardson et al., 2013; Zhang et 715 al., 2017, Fritts, 1976). For all these reasons, tree-ring data and vegetation indices cannot be

expected to fully correspond, and the dynamics of these processes and associated temporallags likely differ among ecosystems, species, and climatic domains.

718

719 *3.2.1 Practical Example 2: Comparing tree-ring and NDVI data across Canada*

To illustrate the temporal mismatch of canopy processes and stem growth, we compared treering width, NDVI, and their correlations with monthly CRU TS-3.22 temperature (Harris et al., 2014) from the corresponding grid cells across Canada's boreal forest (Figure 6). We obtained tree-ring width data from 598 plots (19 species) that were established as part of the Canadian NFI program. The tree-ring data were detrended using generalized negative exponential models and whitened (see Girardin et al., 2016 for details). For each plot, we obtained the corresponding GIMMS-3g NDVI record (Tucker et al., 2005), aggregated into a 727 0.5° regular grid using nearest-neighbor interpolation and subsequently averaged at monthly 728 resolution. Point-wise Pearson correlations were computed among all three datasets over the 729 1982-2002 period. This analysis showed that tree-ring width and NDVI correlate in areas 730 where they are both driven by temperature during the same season (Figure 6). In some areas, 731 however, the seasonality in the climate response differed clearly between NDVI and tree-ring 732 width, which may at least partly explain why some studies report a spatially heterogeneous 733 correlation between the two metrics (Beck et al., 2013; Girardin et al., 2016; Pasho and Alla, 734 2015). From this example it is evident that spatiotemporal patterns in tree-ring data and 735 vegetation indices are not equivalent – their representation of different tree organs together 736 with associated differences in processes and climatic drivers need to be considered in any 737 comparison.



Figure 6: Pearson correlation coefficients between detrended tree-ring width (TRW), the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI), and temperature (tmp) over the 1982-2002 period. Panel (a): June-August NDVI vs. TRW; Panel (b): NDVI vs. tmp; Panel (c) June-August NDVI vs. tmp; Panel (d): TRW vs. tmp. Please note that sites and grid cells are ordered by increasing latitude in panels (b-d). Dashed lines separate the previous and current year.

745

746 **4. Mechanistic modeling of tree growth**

747 Static statistical relationships derived from observations are clearly limited in terms of 748 representing feedbacks in ecosystems (Scholes, 2017), and it is not clear how well these past 749 relationships will serve to predict forest responses to the novel conditions in the 750 Anthropocene. Hence, there is need to include more process information when linking wood 751 formation to environmental variability, when reconstructing historical climate (Guiot et al., 752 2014), and especially when attempting to forecast into a future time frame (Gustafson, 2013). 753 Figure 7 illustrates the current range of tree-ring model complexity, from highly empirical 754 monthly time-step approaches (e.g. Tolwinski-Ward et al., 2011) to highly physiological 755 simulations of carbon and water flows in whole trees at very fine time steps (De Schepper 756 and Steppe, 2010; Hölttä et al., 2010). A new approach is also shown within this scheme, 757 with the objective of linking specific cambial-growth and whole-tree physiological models 758 for global applications (see Section 4.2.1 for a description).



Figure 7: Models of xylogenesis have been developed at different levels of complexity and
across a range of temporal scales. Efforts are now being made to develop a new and broadly
applicable modeling approach (Section 4.2.1) that will simulate whole tree growth as a
function of environmental influences on physiological processes. Tmp – temperature; prc –
precipitation; lat – latitude; St – photosynthates; Rw – soil moisture

765

766 *4.1. Simulating radial growth as a function of climatic controls*

767 Wilson and Howard (1968) published the first model of intra-annual xylogenesis, which 768 reproduced the daily cellular development throughout the growing season using "rules" to 769 regulate cellular division, enlargement, wall thickening, and death. Realistic daily xylem 770 development was simulated, but as no environmental controls were imposed (i.e. the rates of 771 growth processes were model inputs), this approach can be considered "descriptive". A 772 handful of models were subsequently published (Howard and Wilson, 1972; Stevens, 1975; 773 Wilson, 1973) that still required time-varying input parameters to produce realistic growth 774 rings. To overcome these limitations, Fritts et al. (1991) developed a mechanistic model of 775 daily cellular development called TRACH that was driven by temperature, water balance, and 776 day length. This approach was already more general and relatively mechanistic, but it 777 required as input the number of cells produced during the growing season and did not 778 consider the supply of growth substrates (see Section 4.2). Expanding upon some of the ideas 779 in TRACH, the now widely used Vaganov-Shashkin (VS) forward model of tree-ring 780 formation (Vaganov et al., 2006) was developed. The VS model is built around the 781 assumption that external multivariate environmental forcing exerts a direct and potentially 782 non-linear influence on secondary tree growth. Accordingly, tree rings and their internal 783 structure (e.g. cell number and size) are simulated based on climatic controls on the kinetics 784 of cell formation (Cuny et al., 2014; Rathgeber et al., 2016). The VS model includes two 785 basic conditions for the non-linear dependence of wood formation on the environment: the 786 Principle of Limiting Factor (Fritts, 1976) with respect to daily temperature and soil moisture,
- and a threshold growth response function to represent the dependence of cell formation onambient temperature and soil moisture (Vaganov et al., 2006).
- 789

790 The output of the VS model includes synthetically generated standardized tree-ring indices 791 that would be expected if local climate were the only external driver of tree growth. The skill 792 of the VS model (unless fine-tuned for specific sites) is thereby roughly comparable to that 793 achieved with statistical transfer function methods commonly applied in dendrochronology 794 (Cook and Pederson, 2011; Evans et al., 2006). However, the VS model has significant 795 advantages over purely statistical models in that it provides daily-resolved estimates of 796 integral growth rates throughout the year and attributes them to different climatic drivers 797 (Shishov et al., 2016). This greatly facilitates the interpretation of inter- and intra-annual 798 growth patterns, for instance when capturing a reduction in radial growth rates during 799 summer drought in Mediterranean areas (Touchan et al., 2012). The applicability of the VS 800 model has also been demonstrated for other biomes across Asia and North America 801 (Anchukaitis et al., 2006; Evans et al., 2006; Shi et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2011). 802 Comparisons between VS-simulated and observed tree-ring chronologies are particularly 803 interesting, as they allow assessing whether temporal non-stationarity in climate-growth 804 relationships arise from climate change alone (Anchukaitis et al., 2006), or from other abiotic 805 or biotic sources.

806

Problematically, it is impractical to upscale site-level chronologies (step C, Figure 1) using the VS model. This is because not all of the detailed information (more than 40 tunable input parameters) required to drive the simulation of cell-level processes is available at large spatial scales. Attempting to facilitate such large-scale application, a numerically more efficient forward tree-ring model, the Vaganov-Shaskin Lite (VSL), has been developed (Tolwinski812 Ward et al., 2011). The VSL model excludes the cell-level processes and has thus been 813 reduced to a product of three limiting climatic factors: temperature, soil water balance and 814 solar radiation. Furthermore, it runs on monthly time steps and contains only 12 tunable 815 parameters. Monthly-resolved climatic input data are broadly available from meteorological 816 stations and often contain much fewer gaps than daily observations. A disadvantage of this 817 simplification is that the VSL model cannot resolve sub-monthly growth processes related to, 818 for example, growth phenology and the formation of "false rings" (Touchan et al., 2012). In 819 short, the VSL model is widely applicable and has been deemed capable of reproducing the 820 variability in tree-ring width chronologies from more than 2000 sites on the ITRDB 821 (Breitenmoser et al., 2014). Moreover, outputs from satellite Earth observations (Section 3.2) 822 and dynamic global vegetation models (DGVMs; Section 4.3) are often provided at monthly 823 resolution, making the VSL model a good candidate for pseudo-proxy experiments (Evans et 824 al., 2013).

825

826 The VS and VSL models have proven valuable to study forest growth responses to climate 827 variability and change, but they still only include climate variables as input parameters and 828 do not consider other internal and external drivers of tree growth. The incorporation of the 829 principle of limiting factors in these models is the primary constraint on their ability to 830 forecast tree growth and its climate response beyond that of commonly employed statistical 831 models (Section 2). An interesting prospect is to integrate these VS-type models with 832 vegetation models that explicitly simulate relevant biological processes such as 833 photosynthesis, respiration, and resource allocation. For example, Mina et al. (2016) 834 demonstrated that simulations of stand basal area with the ForClim model (Bugmann, 1996) 835 could be improved by implementing the seasonal climate response of synthetic tree-ring 836 chronologies from the VSL model. Such model-model integration approaches appear

promising and should be extended to larger scales (e.g., using newly developing NFInetworks; Section 3.1) and a variety of DGVMs.

839

840 *4.2. Towards large-scale modeling of whole-tree growth*

841 Tree rings are increasingly used to study the impacts of environmental change on forest 842 ecosystems and carbon cycling (Babst et al., 2014a; Babst et al., 2017). For such applications, 843 it is not sufficient to model only direct climate impacts on radial growth (Section 4.1). 844 Models need to additionally account for indirect effects of changing external forcing (climate, 845 CO_2 , etc.) via canopy-level processes (Li et al., 2014). An early example of this is the model 846 of Deleuze and Houllier (1998) that – similar to the VS model – was also designed to reduce 847 the parameterization requirements of TRACH and predicts intra-annual wood density profiles 848 of conifer species. In addition to simulating cambial cell division, enlargement, and wall 849 thickening as functions of climate, their model assumes that wall thickening is co-limited by 850 the supply of photosynthates, calculated from temperature and transpiration under the 851 assumption of fixed foliar mass. This model has been successfully used to study intra-annual 852 fluctuations in wood density, in combination with a more comprehensive treatment of plant 853 water and photosynthate transport (Wilkinson et al., 2015). However, the implemented cohort 854 approach to cellular differentiation limits comparisons with observed radial files (von Arx et 855 al., 2016) and does not include scaling of radial-file growth to the whole tree.

856

Considering other processes and time-scales, (De Schepper and Steppe, 2010) developed a whole-tree model of reversible (diurnal fluctuations in water content) and irreversible (structural growth) stem diameter variations, using a very detailed representation of dynamic water and sugar transport between numerous levels in a tree on a time step of less than one second. Irreversible radial growth occurs as a function of local turgor and sugar content, but

862 the focus of the model is on reversible changes. (Hölttä et al., 2010) built on this model by 863 adding cellular-level dynamics and thereby produced a remarkably comprehensive approach 864 to modeling whole-tree growth, albeit omitting hormonal control. Their approach is very 865 promising as a detailed physiological treatment and produces interesting conclusions 866 regarding the effect of tree size on environmental influences. However, photosynthesis and 867 transpiration are computed off-line, rather than as part of the model simulation, and a very 868 large number of empirical parameters are required. Furthermore, the high-resolution time-869 stepping and consequent computing demands presently limit its application for large-scale 870 studies of forest-environment interactions. Despite the knowledge of xylogenesis captured by 871 these models, there is to date no generally applicable approach to modeling whole-tree 872 growth at large scales. This would require a broadly applicable model structure with a few 873 key parameter differences between plant functional types (or ideally species), as is currently 874 implemented for photosynthesis in DGVMs (Section 4.3).

875

876 *4.2.1 Practical example 3: Towards a broadly applicable whole-tree model*

877 Building on the approaches discussed above, a whole-tree model called "Grow Up" is 878 currently being constructed (Friend et al., in prep) that should be capable of being 879 parameterized for any species and will be incorporated into a DGVM framework. A tree is 880 assumed to grow as a coordinated whole, led by nutrient uptake and allocation, with foliage 881 activity promoting cambial growth, resulting in demand for carbon and nutrients from the 882 developing xylem. Cells in one lateral radial file per tree are represented, with the processes 883 of division, enlargement, wall thickening, and death controlled by a range of external and 884 internal factors (Figure 8). The activities of apical meristems are also considered to enable 885 whole-tree growth as described in (Hayat et al., 2017), an earlier version of this model. 886 Reserve pools of carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus enable carry-over effects between years, and the relative activities of the different meristems are controlled by shading, nutrient status,soil water, and phenological signals.

889

890 Three vectors are used to hold the state variables of the cells in the radial file: the cell 891 development stage (i.e. cambial initial, xylem mother, enlarging, thickening, or mature), 892 radial cell diameter, and cell wall thickness. When a cell matures, it is added to the tree stem 893 and not treated further, although heartwood formation occurs in response to canopy die-back. 894 The vectors start with the innermost immature cell along the radial file and end at the 895 innermost phloem mother cell, which is a fixed anchor. The vectors are adjusted as cells are 896 added through division or lost through maturation. The primary outputs directly derived from 897 the xylogenetic component of Grow_Up are annual width and mass increment of the stem, as 898 well as intra-ring density profiles. More detailed outputs such as the weekly kinetics of 899 cellular development can also be produced for comparison with observations obtained using 900 microcores (e.g. Cuny et al., 2014). This basic xylogenetic scheme is assumed to be universal 901 in all tree species. However, the understanding of the rules governing cambial activation and 902 dormancy, the rates of cellular division, transitions between cell types, rates of expansion, 903 and rates of cell wall thickening, is currently incomplete and so the focus is now on testing 904 various hypotheses.

Radial file:



905

Information stored in memory: cell type, diameter, and wall thickness Cellular growth = $f(tmp, H_2O, St, hormonal signal from the canopy)$

Figure 8: New model of cell development in a radial file using a vector approach and rules
for cell differentiation based on internal and external drivers. Tmp – temperature; St photosynthates

909

910 Initial assumptions for the controls on the development of the radial file assume that the rates 911 of growth of cambial, mother, and enlarging cells are influenced by water supply, 912 temperature, a hormonal signal from the canopy, and the concentration of sugars in the 913 cambium using simple response functions. Cambial cells divide when they reach a critical 914 size, producing mother cells. Mother cells divide if they reach a critical size and transition to 915 (non-dividing) enlarging cells when they reach a certain distance from the phloem. Enlarging 916 cells enter the thickening phase once they reach a critical size, and thickening continues up to 917 a critical limit at which the cell dies and becomes mature and functional xylem (see Figure 8). 918 The critical cell sizes and cell wall thicknesses, as well as the rates of thickening, are 919 currently fixed parameters, with only the rates of cellular growth depending on environmental 920 factors. These assumptions are being tested using microcores collected as components of 921 various field campaigns and experiments (e.g. Cuny et al., 2014). This scheme is currently 922 being implemented within the HYBRID9 DGVM framework (a derivative of the model of 923 (Friend, 2010; Friend and White, 2000), and it is anticipated that this new approach will
924 challenge the predictions of the current generation of DGVMs in fundamental ways, as well
925 as open them up to direct comparison with tree-ring archives.

926

927 *4.3. Tree-ring integration with ecophysiological and dynamic global vegetation models*

928 Climate policy relies heavily on predictions from earth system models, including their crucial 929 DGVM sub-components required to model terrestrial carbon fluxes, water exchange, and 930 energy balances (Boucher et al., 2016). Current DGVMs struggle, however, to simulate forest 931 growth and its climate response accurately, particularly at annual or longer time scales 932 (Anderegg et al., 2015; Pappas et al., 2017; Rollinson et al., 2017; Tei et al., 2017; Zhang et 933 al., 2017). Hence, we see great potential for both tree-ring observations and ecophysiological 934 models of tree growth to help evaluate and improve DGVMs. A conceptual challenge thereby 935 is to reconcile the carbon source (i.e. photosynthesis) and sink limitations on tree growth 936 (Fatichi et al., 2014; Körner, 2015). Sink limitations (see Section 4.1) and their possible 937 feedbacks on photosynthesis are currently not implemented in DGVMs, which generates 938 uncertainty (Friend et al., 2014) because growth is treated only as a downstream process. 939 Explicitly representing xylogenesis in DGVMs (see Section 4.2.1), or at least evaluating 940 DGVMs at stand and regional scales using ecophysiological models with explicit tree growth 941 modules, could be a promising way to refine projections of terrestrial carbon cycling. Until 942 this approach can be fully implemented and rigorously tested, tree rings should continue to be 943 used in DGVM development by serving as observational references for model-data 944 comparisons and model parameterization.

945

946 Past research has revealed a large spread in the ability of different DGVMs to reproduce947 patterns observed in tree rings. Besides being exceedingly sensitive to climate variability

948 (Rollinson et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017; Klesse et al. in review b), modeled NPP tends to 949 recover much more quickly after extreme events (Anderegg et al., 2015) and lacks the 950 memory effects that are commonly observed in tree-ring observations also in non-extreme 951 years (Pappas et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017). Accordingly, neither the significant 952 correlations with previous year's climate, nor the positive auto-correlation structure of most 953 tree-ring time series are simulated accurately. These findings point to deficits in the carbon 954 allocation schemes that are implemented in current DGVMs (Sitch et al., 2015). Carbon 955 allocation and turnover have been identified as an important source of uncertainty (Bloom et 956 al., 2016; De Kauwe et al., 2014; Friend et al., 2014; Montané et al., 2017) that is 957 compounded by a shortage of long-term observations of root and foliar dynamics.

958

959 The MAIDEN model (Misson, 2004), an ecophysiological model with a sophisticated carbon 960 allocation scheme, has shown high correlations (r > 0.5) with tree-ring chronologies from 961 coniferous and broadleaf species at Mediterranean and boreal sites (Gea-Izquierdo et al., 962 2015; Gennaretti et al., 2017). MAIDEN uses mechanistic rules for the temporal allocation of 963 photosynthates to four carbon pools (leaves, stem, roots, and non-structural carbohydrates) 964 according to phenological phases. While its large-scale application to estimate tree growth is 965 still limited because certain allocation parameters need to be fitted site-by-site, the integration 966 of the MAIDEN model with tree-ring observations has already been proposed with a view on 967 paleo-applications. For instance, (Guiot et al., 2014) have advocated the use of this and other 968 ecophysiological models in the inverse mode to hindcast climate variability over centuries. In 969 this application, the model parameters are first manually or automatically optimized to 970 represent the observed radial increment. Using model-data-fusion techniques (Peng et al., 971 2011) the tree-ring data are then assimilated into the model to iteratively constrain the most 972 likely climate conditions (i.e. probability distributions) that produce the observed radial 973 increment in a given year (Boucher et al., 2014). For the pre-instrumental period when only 974 tree-ring data are available, the climate probability distribution of a chosen reference (i.e. 975 average) year is iteratively modified according to the annual tree-ring anomaly for that year 976 (Guiot et al., 2014). This way, a climate probability distribution for each year of the 977 reconstruction is determined. Such climate reconstructions based on ecophysiological models 978 have the advantage over purely empirical calibrations that the influence of non-climatic 979 effects that are represented in the model (e.g. CO₂) can be assessed. Additionally, 980 mechanistic models are positioned to extract climatic information from tree-ring sites located 981 away from the extreme growth environments typically considered for dendroclimatic 982 reconstruction. Recalling that classical site selection practices for dendroclimatology were 983 designed to optimize the signals from a single growth limiting factor (e.g., warm season 984 temperatures or spring precipitation; see Section 2.1), vast areas where tree growth is 985 influenced by multiple climatic parameters have remained more moderately utilized and 986 primarily incorporated in drought reconstructions, whereby drought metrics such as the 987 Palmer Drought Severity Index and the related tree-ring signals extracted are driven by both 988 thermal and moisture conditions (e.g. Cook et al., 2015; Cook et al., 2004). Mechanistic 989 models are positioned to identify separately the precipitation and temperature signals back in 990 time embedded within tree-ring chronologies with mixed and temporally changing growth 991 limitations.

992

With a view on DGVM development, model-data-fusion approaches involving tree-ring data (see above) could constrain carbon allocation to stem growth and thereby help evaluate and improve allocation schemes. In addition, a series of model inter-comparison exercises would be useful to determine why some models perform better than others in simulating forest growth and its climate response. Such exercises are being conducted for various ecosystem

998 variables (see e.g. the MsTMIP project of the North American Carbon Program; 999 https://nacp.ornl.gov/MsTMIP.shtml) and we are convinced that including tree-ring 1000 benchmarks from various ecoregions will be quite fruitful for providing quantitative insight 1001 in the representation of critical processes in DGVMs. However, one challenge for comparing 1002 multiple models with tree rings will be to generate parameters that are spatially and 1003 conceptually comparable. On one hand, estimates of absolute growth rates (e.g. in g C $m^{-2}v^{-1}$) 1004 from tree rings facilitate comparisons with standard DGVM output (e.g. net primary 1005 productivity, in g C $m^{-2}v^{-1}$). On the other hand, transforming radial growth into biomass 1006 increment generates uncertainty (see Section 3.1) that is best avoided if tree-ring data are to 1007 serve as an observational benchmark. Hence, we advocate that tree-ring width should become 1008 a standard output parameter (or "emergent property") of DGVMs and that the detail of the 1009 implemented carbon pools (leaves, branches, stem, coarse and fine roots, non-structural 1010 carbohydrates, etc.) in the models be re-examined for comparison with tree rings and other 1011 ecological data.

1012

1013 **5.** Perspectives for tree-ring research

1014 Our discussion around the statistical scaling of tree-ring data in sections 2 and 3 has 1015 emphasized the need for representative sampling to capture the heterogeneity of forested 1016 landscapes. The systematic or random distribution of samples along the body of an individual 1017 tree, of individual trees within a site, and of sites across the landscape will allow for more 1018 robust past and future projections across the space where observations are sparse or missing. 1019 In addition, representative sampling of the area covered by the grid cells of raster data 1020 products should reduce the spatial mismatch between tree-ring data and satellite Earth 1021 observations or DGVM output. This objective of spatial representativeness is somewhat new 1022 to the field of dendrochronology. While other disciplines (e.g. ecosystem ecology or forestry) 1023 have a long history of optimizing sampling schemes for spatial or temporal scaling (Scholes, 1024 2017), these ideas have only recently started to enter the broad scope of tree-ring research 1025 and require a certain rethinking of established protocols. For example, if tree-ring sampling 1026 should represent the absolute growth rates of a larger population of trees (e.g. a stand), the 1027 strength of the common growth variability among trees (traditionally assessed by the mean 1028 inter-series correlation) and metrics of how well a finite sample represents the theoretical 1029 population chronology (Expressed Population Signal; Buras, 2017; Cook and Peters, 1997; 1030 Wigley et al., 1984) are insufficient quality criteria. Hence, new quality criteria as well as 1031 guidelines for tree-ring sampling need to be established that serve both the needs of 1032 individual studies and the overarching goal of scaling. We recommend that this be done 1033 through interdisciplinary research initiatives that involve experts form complimentary 1034 disciplines, including dendrochronology, forest and landscape ecology, forestry, and 1035 statistical ecology.

1036

1037 At present, we have the best understanding of uncertainties in tree-ring data at the site level. 1038 Over the past years, a number of studies have characterized trend biases in time series of tree 1039 growth (e.g. Brienen et al., 2012; Brienen et al., 2017; Peters et al., 2015) or the impact of 1040 sampling practices on tree-ring quantification of stand-level above-ground biomass increment 1041 (Alexander et al., 2017; Nehrbass - Ahles et al., 2014). These studies will serve as important 1042 guidelines in future field campaigns. In contrast, sampling biases at the individual level are 1043 insufficiently constrained, especially when the goal is to represent full stem or tree-level 1044 growth. This is in part because the heterogeneity and dynamics of resource allocation to stem 1045 growth are not well understood. This could for example be tackled through intense sampling 1046 along trees that were commercially felled or uprooted after a storm. If combined with wood 1047 anatomical measurements (von Arx et al., 2016), such data could additionally serve as an 1048 improved test bed for mechanistic models of xylogenesis (Section 4). These models are 1049 becoming increasingly important tools to assess, reconstruct, and forecast tree growth 1050 responses to a changing environment because - even with the most representative sampling -1051 statistical scaling is challenged by feedbacks in ecosystem processes (Scholes, 2017). Finally, 1052 uncertainties in tree-ring data will be the most challenging to assess at large spatial scales 1053 where individual- and site-level uncertainties cumulate and where the number of existing 1054 records may not suffice to counteract uncertainty from spatial heterogeneity. Yet, as new 1055 tree-ring and NFI data with well-quantified uncertainty are made accessible and interoperable 1056 across national boundaries, a global network of annually resolved forest biomass 1057 reconstructions can emerge. An important application of these data will then be to evaluate 1058 the ITRDB and ensure that this legacy of decades of tree-ring research can continue to 1059 support earth system science (Babst et al., 2017).

1060

1061 When tree rings go global – as is the theme of this review – the goal is to generate knowledge 1062 and data that can inform adaptation and mitigation strategies in the face of climate change. 1063 The primary strength of tree-ring records has so far been seen in their temporal depth that 1064 allows placing the current climatic variability and ongoing trends in a millennium-length 1065 context. Indeed, it is both important and disturbing to learn that the Earth is warming at an 1066 unprecedented rate (Esper et al., 2018; Wilson et al., 2016), that man-made influences on 1067 atmospheric circulation patterns can promote more frequent extreme events (e.g. through 1068 Arctic warming; (Trouet et al., 2018), and that these events are directly linked to forest 1069 mortality, disturbances, and changes in the terrestrial carbon cycle (Schwalm et al., 2017; 1070 Schwalm et al., 2012; Williams et al., 2013). However, anthropogenic climate change is now 1071 considered indisputable and there is a need to transition towards tree-ring research that 1072 assesses, reconstructs and projects the responses and feedbacks of forest ecosystems to

1073 climate change. Dendrochronology can make important contributions at every step of 1074 successful scaling (Sections 2 and 3) and refined process understanding (Section 4). How and 1075 how quickly can we expect tree growth and its climate sensitivity to change with continued 1076 warming? Will thinning forests mitigate drought stress? How much carbon will be 1077 sequestered by forests under various management scenarios? By answering these and other 1078 relevant questions, tree-ring research can directly support the development and assessment of 1079 climate change adaptation strategies.

1080

1081 Acknowledgements

1082 F.B. acknowledges funding from the EU-H2020 program (grant 640176, "BACI") and the

1083 Swiss National Science Foundation (#P300P2_154543). S.K. acknowledges the support of

the USDA-AFRI grant 2016-67003-24944. A.D.F and R.H.T acknowledge support from the

1085 Natural Environment Research Council through grant number NE/P011462/1.

1086 References

- Adams, H.D., Zeppel, M.J., Anderegg, W.R., Hartmann, H., Landhäusser, S.M., Tissue, D.T.,
 Huxman, T.E., Hudson, P.J., Franz, T.E., Allen, C.D., 2017. A multi-species synthesis of
 physiological mechanisms in drought-induced tree mortality. Nature ecology & evolution
 1, 1285.
- Ainsworth, E.A., Long, S.P., 2005. What have we learned from 15 years of free air CO2
 enrichment (FACE)? A meta analytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy
 properties and plant production to rising CO2. New Phytologist 165, 351-372.
- Aitken, S.N., Yeaman, S., Holliday, J.A., Wang, T., Curtis McLane, S., 2008. Adaptation,
 migration or extirpation: climate change outcomes for tree populations. Evolutionary
 Applications 1, 95-111.
- Åkerblom, M., Raumonen, P., Kaasalainen, M., Casella, E., 2015. Analysis of geometric
 primitives in quantitative structure models of tree stems. Remote Sensing 7, 4581-4603.
- Alexander, M.R., Rollinson, C.R., Babst, F., Trouet, V., Moore, D.J., 2017. Relative
 influences of multiple sources of uncertainty on cumulative and incremental tree-ringderived aboveground biomass estimates. Trees, 1-12.
- Anchukaitis, K.J., Evans, M.N., Kaplan, A., Vaganov, E.A., Hughes, M.K., Grissino-Mayer,
 H.D., Cane, M.A., 2006. Forward modeling of regional scale tree-ring patterns in the
 southeastern United States and the recent influence of summer drought. Geophysical
 Research Letters 33.

- Anderegg, W.R.L., Schwalm, C., Biondi, F., Camarero, J.J., Koch, G., Litvak, M., Ogle, K.,
 Shaw, J.D., Shevliakova, E., Williams, A.P., Wolf, A., Ziaco, E., Pacala, S., 2015.
 Pervasive drought legacies in forest ecosystems and their implications for carbon cycle
 models. Science 349, 528-532.
- Babst, F., Alexander, M.R., Szejner, P., Bouriaud, O., Klesse, S., Roden, J., Ciais, P., Poulter,
 B., Frank, D., Moore, D.J., 2014a. A tree-ring perspective on the terrestrial carbon cycle.
 Oecologia 176, 307-322.
- Babst, F., Bouriaud, O., Alexander, R., Trouet, V., Frank, D., 2014b. Toward consistent
 measurements of carbon accumulation: A multi-site assessment of biomass and basal area
 increment across Europe. Dendrochronologia 32, 153-161.
- Babst, F., Esper, J., Parlow, E., 2010. Landsat TM/ETM+ and tree-ring based assessment of
 spatiotemporal patterns of the autumnal moth (Epirrita autumnata) in northernmost
 Fennoscandia. Remote Sensing of Environment 114, 637-646.
- Babst, F., Poulter, B., Bodesheim, P., Mahecha, M.D., Frank, D.C., 2017. Improved tree-ring
 archives will support earth-system science. Nature Ecology & Evolution 1, 0008.
- Babst, F., Poulter, B., Trouet, V., Tan, K., Neuwirth, B., Wilson, R., Carrer, M., Grabner, M.,
 Tegel, W., Levanic, T., 2013. Site and species specific responses of forest growth to
 climate across the European continent. Global Ecology and Biogeography 22, 706-717.
- Bakker, J.D., 2005. A new, proportional method for reconstructing historical tree diameters.
 Canadian Journal of Forest Research-Revue Canadienne De Recherche Forestiere 35, 2515-2520.
- Bechtold, W.A., Patterson, P.L., 2005. The enhanced forest inventory and analysis programnational sampling design and estimation procedures. Gen. Tech. Rep. SRS-80. Asheville,
 NC: US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Research Station. 85 p. 80.
- Beck, P.S., Andreu-Hayles, L., D'Arrigo, R., Anchukaitis, K.J., Tucker, C.J., Pinzón, J.E.,
 Goetz, S.J., 2013. A large-scale coherent signal of canopy status in maximum latewood
 density of tree rings at arctic treeline in North America. Global and planetary change 100,
 109-118.
- Berner, L.T., Beck, P.S., Bunn, A.G., Lloyd, A.H., Goetz, S.J., 2011. High latitude tree
 growth and satellite vegetation indices: Correlations and trends in Russia and Canada
 (1982-2008). Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences 116.
- Björklund, J., Seftigen, K., Schweingruber, F., Fonti, P., Arx, G., Bryukhanova, M.V., Cuny,
 H.E., Carrer, M., Castagneri, D., Frank, D.C., 2017. Cell size and wall dimensions drive
 distinct variability of earlywood and latewood density in Northern Hemisphere conifers.
 New Phytologist 216, 728-740.
- Black, B.A., Griffin, D., Sleen, P., Wanamaker, A.D., Speer, J.H., Frank, D.C., Stahle, D.W.,
 Pederson, N., Copenheaver, C.A., Trouet, V., 2016. The value of crossdating to retain
 high frequency variability, climate signals, and extreme events in environmental proxies.
 Global change biology 22, 2582-2595.
- Bloom, A.A., Exbrayat, J.-F., van der Velde, I.R., Feng, L., Williams, M., 2016. The decadal
 state of the terrestrial carbon cycle: Global retrievals of terrestrial carbon allocation, pools,
 and residence times. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 113, 1285-1290.
- Boucher, É., Guiot, J., Hatté, C., Daux, V., Danis, P.-A., Dussouillez, P., 2014. An inverse
 modeling approach for tree-ring-based climate reconstructions under changing
 atmospheric CO2 concentrations. Biogeosciences 11, 3245.
- Boucher, O., Bellassen, V., Benveniste, H., Ciais, P., Criqui, P., Guivarch, C., Le Treut, H.,
 Mathy, S., Séférian, R., 2016. Opinion: In the wake of Paris Agreement, scientists must
 embrace new directions for climate change research. Proceedings of the National
 Academy of Sciences 113, 7287-7290.

- Bouriaud, O., Marin, G., Bouriaud, L., Hessenmöller, D., Schulze, E.-D., 2016. Romanian
 legal management rules limit wood production in Norway spruce and beech forests. Forest
 Ecosystems 3, 20.
- Bouriaud, O., Teodosiu, M., Kirdyanov, A., Wirth, C., 2015. Influence of wood density in
 tree-ring based annual productivity assessments and its errors in Norway spruce.
- 1160 Breiman, L., 2001. Random Forest, 45, 5-32. Examples.
- Breitenmoser, P., Brönnimann, S., Frank, D., 2014. Forward modelling of tree-ring width and
 comparison with a global network of tree-ring chronologies. Climate of the Past 10, 437449.
- Brienen, R.J., Gloor, E., Zuidema, P.A., 2012. Detecting evidence for CO2 fertilization from
 tree ring studies: The potential role of sampling biases. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 26.
- Brienen, R.J., Gloor, M., Ziv, G., 2017. Tree demography dominates long term growth
 trends inferred from tree rings. Global change biology 23, 474-484.
- Brienen, R.J., Schöngart, J., Zuidema, P.A., 2016. Tree rings in the tropics: insights into the
 ecology and climate sensitivity of tropical trees, Tropical Tree Physiology. Springer, pp.
 439-461.
- Brzostek, E.R., Dragoni, D., Schmid, H.P., Rahman, A.F., Sims, D., Wayson, C.A., Johnson,
 D.J., Phillips, R.P., 2014. Chronic water stress reduces tree growth and the carbon sink of
 deciduous hardwood forests. Global Change Biology 20, 2531-2539.
- Buchwal, A., Rachlewicz, G., Fonti, P., Cherubini, P., Gärtner, H., 2013. Temperature
 modulates intra-plant growth of Salix polaris from a high Arctic site (Svalbard). Polar
 Biology 36, 1305-1318.
- Buechling, A., Martin, P.H., Canham, C.D., 2017. Climate and competition effects on treegrowth in Rocky Mountain forests. Journal of Ecology.
- Bugmann, H.K., 1996. A simplified forest model to study species composition along climate
 gradients. Ecology 77, 2055-2074.
- Bunn, A.G., Hughes, M.K., Kirdyanov, A.V., Losleben, M., Shishov, V.V., Berner, L.T.,
 Oltchev, A., Vaganov, E.A., 2013. Comparing forest measurements from tree rings and a
 space-based index of vegetation activity in Siberia. Environmental Research Letters 8,
 035034.
- Buras, A., 2017. A comment on the expressed population signal. Dendrochronologia 44, 130-132.
- Buras, A., van der Maaten-Theunissen, M., van der Maaten, E., Ahlgrimm, S., Hermann, P.,
 Simard, S., Heinrich, I., Helle, G., Unterseher, M., Schnittler, M., 2016. Tuning the Voices
 of a Choir: Detecting Ecological Gradients in Time-Series Populations. PloS one 11,
 e0158346.
- Calders, K., Newnham, G., Burt, A., Murphy, S., Raumonen, P., Herold, M., Culvenor, D.,
 Avitabile, V., Disney, M., Armston, J., 2015. Nondestructive estimates of above ground
 biomass using terrestrial laser scanning. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 6, 198-208.
- Charney, N.D., Babst, F., Poulter, B., Record, S., Trouet, V.M., Frank, D., Enquist, B.J.,
 Evans, M.E., 2016. Observed forest sensitivity to climate implies large changes in 21st
 century North American forest growth. Ecology letters 19, 1119-1128.
- Charru, M., Seynave, I., Hervé, J.-C., Bertrand, R., Bontemps, J.-D., 2017. Recent growth
 changes in Western European forests are driven by climate warming and structured across
 tree species climatic habitats. Annals of Forest Science 74, 33.
- 1200 Chen, H.Y., Luo, Y., Reich, P.B., Searle, E.B., Biswas, S.R., 2016. Climate change 1201 associated trends in net biomass change are age dependent in western boreal forests of
 1202 Canada. Ecology letters 19, 1150-1158.
- 1203 Chhin, S., Hogg, E., Lieffers, V.J., Huang, S., 2010. Growth–climate relationships vary with
 height along the stem in lodgepole pine. Tree physiology 30, 335-345.

- Clark, J.S., Iverson, L., Woodall, C.W., Allen, C.D., Bell, D.M., Bragg, D.C., D'amato, A.W.,
 Davis, F.W., Hersh, M.H., Ibanez, I., 2016. The impacts of increasing drought on forest
 dynamics, structure, and biodiversity in the United States. Global change biology 22,
 2329-2352.
- Clark, J.S., Wolosin, M., Dietze, M., IbáÑez, I., LaDeau, S., Welsh, M., Kloeppel, B., 2007.
 Tree growth inference and prediction from diameter censuses and ring widths. Ecological
 Applications 17, 1942-1953.
- Clough, B.J., Curzon, M.T., Domke, G.M., Russell, M.B., Woodall, C.W., 2017. Climate driven trends in stem wood density of tree species in the eastern United States: Ecological
 impact and implications for national forest carbon assessments. Global Ecology and
 Biogeography 26, 1153-1164.
- 1216 Çoban, H.O., Özçelik, R., Avci, M., 2014. Monitoring of damage from cedar shoot moth
 1217 Dichelia cedricola Diakonoff (Lep.: Tortricidae) by multi-temporal Landsat imagery.
 1218 iForest-Biogeosciences and Forestry 7, 126.
- 1219 Cook, E.R., 1987. The decomposition of tree-ring series for environmental studies. Tree-Ring1220 Bulletin.
- 1221 Cook, E.R., Briffa, K.R., Meko, D.M., Graybill, D.A., Funkhouser, G., 1995. THE
 1222 SEGMENT LENGTH CURSE IN LONG TREE-RING CHRONOLOGY
 1223 DEVELOPMENT FOR PALEOCLIMATIC STUDIES. Holocene 5, 229-237.
- Cook, E.R., Glitzenstein, J.S., Krusic, P.J., Harcombe, P.A., 2001. Identifying functional
 groups of trees in west Gulf Coast forests (USA): A tree-ring approach. Ecological
 Applications 11, 883-903.
- Cook, E.R., Pederson, N., 2011. Uncertainty, emergence, and statistics in dendrochronology,
 Dendroclimatology. Springer, pp. 77-112.
- 1229 Cook, E.R., Peters, K., 1997. Calculating unbiased tree-ring indices for the study of climatic1230 and environmental change. Holocene 7, 361-370.
- 1231 Cook, E.R., Seager, R., Kushnir, Y., Briffa, K.R., Büntgen, U., Frank, D., Krusic, P.J., Tegel,
 1232 W., van der Schrier, G., Andreu-Hayles, L., 2015. Old World megadroughts and pluvials
 1233 during the Common Era. Science Advances 1, e1500561.
- 1234 Cook, E.R., Woodhouse, C.A., Eakin, C.M., Meko, D.M., Stahle, D.W., 2004. Long-term
 1235 aridity changes in the western United States. Science 306, 1015-1018.
- 1236 Coulthard, B.L., Touchan, R., Anchukaitis, K.J., Meko, D.M., Sivrikaya, F., 2017. Tree
 1237 growth and vegetation activity at the ecosystem-scale in the eastern Mediterranean.
 1238 Environmental Research Letters 12, 084008.
- 1239 Cunliffe, A.M., Brazier, R.E., Anderson, K., 2016. Ultra-fine grain landscape-scale
 1240 quantification of dryland vegetation structure with drone-acquired structure-from-motion
 1241 photogrammetry. Remote Sensing of Environment 183, 129-143.
- 1242 Cuny, H.E., Rathgeber, C.B., Frank, D., Fonti, P., Fournier, M., 2014. Kinetics of tracheid
 1243 development explain conifer tree ring structure. New Phytologist 203, 1231-1241.
- Cuny, H.E., Rathgeber, C.B.K., Frank, D., Fonti, P., Mäkinen, H., Prislan, P., Rossi, S., del
 Castillo, E.M., Campelo, F., Vavrčík, H., Camarero, J.J., Bryukhanova, M.V., Jyske, T.,
 Gričar, J., Gryc, V., De Luis, M., Vieira, J., Čufar, K., Kirdyanov, A.V., Oberhuber, W.,
 Treml, V., Huang, J.-G., Li, X., Swidrak, I., Deslauriers, A., Liang, E., Nöjd, P., Gruber,
- 1247 Trenn, V., Huang, J.-O., El, X., Swhitak, I., Destautiers, A., Elang, E., Nojd, F., Oruber,
 1248 A., Nabais, C., Morin, H., Krause, C., King, G., Fournier, M., 2015. Woody biomass
 1249 production lags stem-girth increase by over one month in coniferous forests. 1, 15160.
- D'arrigo, R., Malmstrom, C., Jacoby, G., Los, S., Bunker, D., 2000. Correlation between
 maximum latewood density of annual tree rings and NDVI based estimates of forest
 productivity. International Journal of Remote Sensing 21, 2329-2336.

- D'Orangeville, L., Duchesne, L., Houle, D., Kneeshaw, D., Côté, B., Pederson, N., 2016.
 Northeastern North America as a potential refugium for boreal forests in a warming climate. Science 352, 1452-1455.
- Davis, S.C., Hessl, A.E., Scott, C.J., Adams, M.B., Thomas, R.B., 2009. Forest carbon sequestration changes in response to timber harvest. Forest Ecology and Management 258, 2101-2109.
- De Kauwe, M.G., Medlyn, B.E., Zaehle, S., Walker, A.P., Dietze, M.C., Wang, Y.P., Luo,
 Y., Jain, A.K., El Masri, B., Hickler, T., 2014. Where does the carbon go? A model-data
 intercomparison of vegetation carbon allocation and turnover processes at two temperate
 forest free air CO2 enrichment sites. New Phytologist 203, 883-899.
- de Rigo, D., Caudullo, G., Houston Durrant, T., San-Miguel-Ayanz, J., 2016. The European Atlas of Forest Tree Species: modelling, data and information on forest tree species.
 European Atlas of Forest Tree Species. Publ. Off. EU, Luxembourg, pp. e01aa69+ https://w3id. org/mtv/FISE-Comm/v01/e01aa69 (Cited on pages 3, 11, and 28).
- 1267 De Schepper, V., Steppe, K., 2010. Development and verification of a water and sugar
 1268 transport model using measured stem diameter variations. Journal of Experimental Botany
 1269 61, 2083-2099.
- Del Castillo, J., Voltas, J., Ferrio, J.P., 2015. Carbon isotope discrimination, radial growth,
 and NDVI share spatiotemporal responses to precipitation in Aleppo pine. Trees 29, 223233.
- Deleuze, C., Houllier, F., 1998. A simple process-based xylem growth model for describing
 wood microdensitometric profiles. Journal of Theoretical Biology 193, 99-113.
- 1275 DeRose, R.J., Shaw, J.D., Long, J.N., 2017. Building the forest inventory and analysis tree-1276 ring data set. Journal of Forestry 115, 283-291.
- Dorman, M., Svoray, T., Perevolotsky, A., Moshe, Y., Sarris, D., 2015. What determines tree
 mortality in dry environments? a multi perspective approach. Ecological Applications
 25, 1054-1071.
- Duchesne, L., D'Orangeville, L., Ouimet, R., Houle, D., Kneeshaw, D., 2017. Extracting
 coherent tree-ring climatic signals across spatial scales from extensive forest inventory
 data. PloS one 12, e0189444.
- Esper, J., Buntgen, U., Frank, D.C., Nievergelt, D., Liebhold, A., 2007. 1200 years of regular
 outbreaks in alpine insects. Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences 274,
 671-679.
- Esper, J., George, S.S., Anchukaitis, K., D'Arrigo, R., Ljungqvist, F., Luterbacher, J.,
 Schneider, L., Stoffel, M., Wilson, R., Büntgen, U., 2018. Large-scale, millennial-length
 temperature reconstructions from tree-rings. Dendrochronologia.
- Evans, M.E., Falk, D.A., Arizpe, A., Swetnam, T.L., Babst, F., Holsinger, K.E., 2017. Fusing
 tree ring and forest inventory data to infer influences on tree growth. Ecosphere 8.
- Evans, M.N., Reichert, B.K., Kaplan, A., Anchukaitis, K.J., Vaganov, E.A., Hughes, M.K.,
 Cane, M.A., 2006. A forward modeling approach to paleoclimatic interpretation of treering data. Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences 111.
- Evans, M.N., Tolwinski-Ward, S.E., Thompson, D.M., Anchukaitis, K.J., 2013. Applications
 of proxy system modeling in high resolution paleoclimatology. Quaternary Science
 Reviews 76, 16-28.
- Eysn, L., Hollaus, M., Lindberg, E., Berger, F., Monnet, J.-M., Dalponte, M., Kobal, M.,
 Pellegrini, M., Lingua, E., Mongus, D., 2015. A benchmark of lidar-based single tree
 detection methods using heterogeneous forest data from the alpine space. Forests 6, 17211747.

- Farrior, C.E., Rodriguez-Iturbe, I., Dybzinski, R., Levin, S.A., Pacala, S.W., 2015. Decreased
 water limitation under elevated CO2 amplifies potential for forest carbon sinks.
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 112, 7213-7218.
- Fatichi, S., Leuzinger, S., Körner, C., 2014. Moving beyond photosynthesis: from carbon
 source to sink driven vegetation modeling. New Phytologist 201, 1086-1095.
- Forrester, D.I., Tachauer, I., Annighoefer, P., Barbeito, I., Pretzsch, H., Ruiz-Peinado, R.,
 Stark, H., Vacchiano, G., Zlatanov, T., Chakraborty, T., 2017. Generalized biomass and
 leaf area allometric equations for European tree species incorporating stand structure, tree
 age and climate. Forest Ecology and Management 396, 160-175.
- Foster, J.R., Finley, A.O., D'amato, A.W., Bradford, J.B., Banerjee, S., 2016. Predicting tree
 biomass growth in the temperate–boreal ecotone: Is tree size, age, competition, or climate
 response most important? Global change biology 22, 2138-2151.
- Frank, D., Poulter, B., Saurer, M., Esper, J., Huntingford, C., Helle, G., Treydte, K.,
 Zimmermann, N., Schleser, G., Ahlström, A., 2015. Water-use efficiency and transpiration
 across European forests during the Anthropocene. Nature Climate Change 5, 579-583.
- Friend, A.D., 2010. Terrestrial plant production and climate change. Journal of experimentalbotany 61, 1293-1309.
- Friend, A.D., Lucht, W., Rademacher, T.T., Keribin, R., Betts, R., Cadule, P., Ciais, P.,
 Clark, D.B., Dankers, R., Falloon, P.D., 2014. Carbon residence time dominates
 uncertainty in terrestrial vegetation responses to future climate and atmospheric CO2.
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 111, 3280-3285.
- Friend, A.D., White, A., 2000. Evaluation and analysis of a dynamic terrestrial ecosystem
 model under preindustrial conditions at the global scale. Global Biogeochemical Cycles
 14, 1173-1190.
- 1325 Fritts, H.C., 1976. Tree Rings and Climate. The Blackburn Press, Caldwell.
- Fritts, H.C., Vaganov, E.A., Sviderskaya, I.V., Shashkin, A.V., 1991. Climatic variation and
 tree-ring structure in conifers: empirical and mechanistic models of tree-ring width,
 number of cells, cell size, cell-wall thickness and wood density. Climate Research, 97116.
- Galiano, L., Martínez-Vilalta, J., Sabaté, S., Lloret, F., 2012. Determinants of drought effects
 on crown condition and their relationship with depletion of carbon reserves in a
 Mediterranean holm oak forest. Tree physiology 32, 478-489.
- Gea-Izquierdo, G., Guibal, F., Joffre, R., Ourcival, J., Simioni, G., Guiot, J., 2015. Modelling
 the climatic drivers determining photosynthesis and carbon allocation in evergreen
 Mediterranean forests using multiproxy long time series. Biogeosciences 12, 3695-3712.
- Gedalof, Z.e., Berg, A.A., 2010. Tree ring evidence for limited direct CO2 fertilization offorests over the 20th century. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 24.
- Gennaretti, F., Gea-Izquierdo, G., Boucher, E., Berninger, F., Arseneault, D., Guiot, J., 2017.
 Ecophysiological modeling of photosynthesis and carbon allocation to the tree stem in the
 boreal forest. Biogeosciences 14, 4851.
- Gessler, A., Ferrio, J.P., Hommel, R., Treydte, K., Werner, R.A., Monson, R.K., 2014. Stable
 isotopes in tree rings: towards a mechanistic understanding of isotope fractionation and
 mixing processes from the leaves to the wood. Tree physiology 34, 796-818.
- Girardin, M.P., Bouriaud, O., Hogg, E.H., Kurz, W., Zimmermann, N.E., Metsaranta, J.M.,
 de Jong, R., Frank, D.C., Esper, J., Büntgen, U., Guo, X.J., Bhatti, J., 2016. No growth
 stimulation of Canada's boreal forest under half-century of combined warming and CO2
 fertilization. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.
- Girardin, M.P., Guo, X.J., De Jong, R., Kinnard, C., Bernier, P., Raulier, F., 2014. Unusual
 forest growth decline in boreal North America covaries with the retreat of Arctic sea ice.
 Global change biology 20, 851-866.

- Griebel, A., Bennett, L.T., Arndt, S.K., 2017. Evergreen and ever growing–Stem and canopy
 growth dynamics of a temperate eucalypt forest. Forest Ecology and Management 389,
 417-426.
- Grossiord, C., Granier, A., Ratcliffe, S., Bouriaud, O., Bruelheide, H., Chećko, E., Forrester,
 D.I., Dawud, S.M., Finér, L., Pollastrini, M., 2014. Tree diversity does not always
 improve resistance of forest ecosystems to drought. Proceedings of the National Academy
 of Sciences 111, 14812-14815.
- Guiot, J., Boucher, E., Gea-Izquierdo, G., 2014. Process models and model-data fusion in
 dendroecology. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution 2, 52.
- Gustafson, E.J., 2013. When relationships estimated in the past cannot be used to predict the
 future: using mechanistic models to predict landscape ecological dynamics in a changing
 world. Landscape ecology 28, 1429-1437.
- Harris, I., Jones, P., Osborn, T., Lister, D., 2014. Updated high resolution grids of monthly
 climatic observations-the CRU TS3. 10 Dataset. International Journal of Climatology 34,
 623-642.
- Hayat, A., Hacket-Pain, A.J., Pretzsch, H., Rademacher, T.T., Friend, A.D., 2017. Modeling
 Tree Growth Taking into Account Carbon Source and Sink Limitations. Frontiers in plant
 science 8.
- Hellmann, L., Agafonov, L., Ljungqvist, F.C., Churakova, O., Düthorn, E., Esper, J.,
 Hülsmann, L., Kirdyanov, A.V., Moiseev, P., Myglan, V.S., 2016. Diverse growth trends
 and climate responses across Eurasia's boreal forest. Environmental Research Letters 11,
 074021.
- Hölttä, T., Mäkinen, H., Nöjd, P., Mäkelä, A., Nikinmaa, E., 2010. A physiological model of
 softwood cambial growth. Tree Physiology 30, 1235-1252.
- Housset, J.M., Nadeau, S., Isabel, N., Depardieu, C., Duchesne, I., Lenz, P., Girardin, M.P.,
 2018. Tree rings provide a new class of phenotypes for genetic associations that foster
 insights into adaptation of conifers to climate change. New Phytologist.
- Howard, R., Wilson, B., 1972. A stochastic model for cambial activity. Botanical Gazette1379 133, 410-414.
- Huang, K., Yi, C., Wu, D., Zhou, T., Zhao, X., Blanford, W.J., Wei, S., Wu, H., Ling, D., Li,
 Z., 2015. Tipping point of a conifer forest ecosystem under severe drought. Environmental
 Research Letters 10, 024011.
- Jucker, T., Caspersen, J., Chave, J., Antin, C., Barbier, N., Bongers, F., Dalponte, M., Ewijk,
 K.Y., Forrester, D.I., Haeni, M., 2017. Allometric equations for integrating remote sensing
 imagery into forest monitoring programmes. Global change biology 23, 177-190.
- Kaufmann, R., D'arrigo, R., Laskowski, C., Myneni, R., Zhou, L., Davi, N., 2004. The effect
 of growing season and summer greenness on northern forests. Geophysical research letters
 31.
- Klesse, S., Etzold, S., Frank, D., 2016. Integrating tree-ring and inventory-based measurements of aboveground biomass growth: research opportunities and carbon cycle consequences from a large snow breakage event in the Swiss Alps. European journal of forest research 135, 297-311.
- Körner, C., 2015. Paradigm shift in plant growth control. Current Opinion in Plant Biology25, 107-114.
- 1395 Körner, C., 2017. A matter of tree longevity. Science 355, 130-131.
- Le Quéré, C., Andrew, R.M., Canadell, J.G., Sitch, S., Korsbakken, J.I., Peters, G.P.,
 Manning, A.C., Boden, T.A., Tans, P.P., Houghton, R.A., 2016. Global carbon budget
 2016. Earth System Science Data 8, 605-649.

- Levesque, M., Andreu-Hayles, L., Pederson, N., 2017. Water availability drives gas exchange
 and growth of trees in northeastern US, not elevated CO2 and reduced acid deposition.
 Scientific Reports 7.
- Li, G., Harrison, S., Prentice, I., Falster, D., 2014. Simulation of tree-ring widths with a model for primary production, carbon allocation, and growth.
- Liski, J., Kaasalainen, S., Raumonen, P., Akujärvi, A., Krooks, A., Repo, A., Kaasalainen,
 M., 2014. Indirect emissions of forest bioenergy: detailed modeling of stump root
 systems. Gcb Bioenergy 6, 777-784.
- Madrigal-González, J., Ballesteros-Cánovas, J.A., Herrero, A., Ruiz-Benito, P., Stoffel, M.,
 Lucas-Borja, M.E., Andivia, E., Sancho-García, C., Zavala, M.A., 2017. Forest
 productivity in southwestern Europe is controlled by coupled North Atlantic and Atlantic
 Multidecadal Oscillations. Nature communications 8, 2222.
- Marotzke, J., Jakob, C., Bony, S., Dirmeyer, P.A., O'Gorman, P.A., Hawkins, E., PerkinsKirkpatrick, S., Le Quéré, C., Nowicki, S., Paulavets, K., 2017. Climate research must
 sharpen its view. Nature Climate Change 7, 89.
- Martin Benito, D., Pederson, N., 2015. Convergence in drought stress, but a divergence of
 climatic drivers across a latitudinal gradient in a temperate broadleaf forest. Journal of
 Biogeography 42, 925-937.
- McRoberts, R.E., Tomppo, E., Schadauer, K., Vidal, C., Ståhl, G., Chirici, G., Lanz, A.,
 Cienciala, E., Winter, S., Smith, W.B., 2009. Harmonizing national forest inventories.
 Journal of Forestry 107, 179-187.
- Melvin, T.M., Briffa, K.R., 2008. A "signal-free" approach to dendroclimatic standardisation.
 Dendrochronologia 26, 71-86.
- Mendivelso, H.A., Camarero, J.J., Gutiérrez, E., Zuidema, P.A., 2014. Time-dependent
 effects of climate and drought on tree growth in a Neotropical dry forest: Short-term
 tolerance vs. long-term sensitivity. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 188, 13-23.
- Mina, M., Martin-Benito, D., Bugmann, H., Cailleret, M., 2016. Forward modeling of treering width improves simulation of forest growth responses to drought. Agricultural and
 Forest Meteorology 221, 13-33.
- Misson, L., 2004. MAIDEN: a model for analyzing ecosystem processes in dendroecology.
 Canadian Journal of Forest Research 34, 874-887.
- Monserud, R.A., Marshall, J.D., 2001. Time-series analysis of δ13C from tree rings. I. Time
 trends and autocorrelation. Tree physiology 21, 1087-1102.
- Montané, F., Fox, A.M., Arellano, A.F., MacBean, N., Alexander, M.R., Dye, A., Bishop,
 D.A., Trouet, V., Babst, F., Hessl, A.E., 2017. Evaluating the effect of alternative carbon
 allocation schemes in a land surface model (CLM4. 5) on carbon fluxes, pools, and
 turnover in temperate forests. Geoscientific Model Development 10, 3499.
- Morales, M., Carilla, J., Grau, H., Villalba, R., 2015. Multi-century lake area changes in the
 Southern Altiplano: a tree-ring-based reconstruction. Climate of the Past 11, 1139.
- Nash, J.E., Sutcliffe, J.V., 1970. River flow forecasting through conceptual models part I—A
 discussion of principles. Journal of hydrology 10, 282-290.
- Nehrbass Ahles, C., Babst, F., Klesse, S., Nötzli, M., Bouriaud, O., Neukom, R., Dobbertin,
 M., Frank, D., 2014. The influence of sampling design on tree ring based
 quantification of forest growth. Global change biology 20, 2867-2885.
- Neukom, R., Gergis, J., Karoly, D.J., Wanner, H., Curran, M., Elbert, J., González-Rouco, F.,
 Linsley, B.K., Moy, A.D., Mundo, I., 2014. Inter-hemispheric temperature variability over
 the past millennium. Nature Climate Change 4, 362.
- 1446 Newnham, G.J., Armston, J.D., Calders, K., Disney, M.I., Lovell, J.L., Schaaf, C.B., Strahler,
- A.H., Danson, F.M., 2015. Terrestrial laser scanning for plot-scale forest measurement.
 Current Forestry Reports 1, 239-251.

- Nicklen, E.F., Roland, C.A., Ruess, R.W., Schmidt, J.H., Lloyd, A.H., 2016. Local site
 conditions drive climate–growth responses of Picea mariana and Picea glauca in interior
 Alaska. Ecosphere 7.
- Nickless, A., Scholes, R.J., Archibald, S., 2011. A method for calculating the variance and
 confidence intervals for tree biomass estimates obtained from allometric equations. South
 African Journal of Science 107, 1-10.
- Ogle, K., Barber, J.J., Barron Gafford, G.A., Bentley, L.P., Young, J.M., Huxman, T.E.,
 Loik, M.E., Tissue, D.T., 2015. Quantifying ecological memory in plant and ecosystem
 processes. Ecology letters 18, 221-235.
- Ols, C., Girardin, M.P., Hofgaard, A., Bergeron, Y., Drobyshev, I., 2017. Monitoring climate
 sensitivity shifts in tree-rings of eastern boreal North America using model-data
 comparison. Ecosystems, 1-16.
- Omernik, J.M., Griffith, G.E., 2014. Ecoregions of the conterminous United States: evolution
 of a hierarchical spatial framework. Environmental management 54, 1249-1266.
- Pappas, C., Mahecha, M.D., Frank, D.C., Babst, F., Koutsoyiannis, D., 2017. Ecosystem
 functioning is enveloped by hydrometeorological variability. Nature ecology & evolution
 1, 1263.
- Pasho, E., Alla, A.Q., 2015. Climate impacts on radial growth and vegetation activity of two
 co-existing Mediterranean pine species. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 45, 17481756.
- Peng, C., Guiot, J., Wu, H., Jiang, H., Luo, Y., 2011. Integrating models with data in ecology
 and palaeoecology: advances towards a model–data fusion approach. Ecology Letters 14,
 522-536.
- Peñuelas, J., Canadell, J.G., Ogaya, R., 2011. Increased water use efficiency during the
 20th century did not translate into enhanced tree growth. Global Ecology and
 Biogeography 20, 597-608.
- 1475 Peters, K., Jacoby, G.C., Cook, E.R., 1981. Principal components analysis of tree-ring sites.
- Peters, R.L., Groenendijk, P., Vlam, M., Zuidema, P.A., 2015. Detecting long term growth
 trends using tree rings: a critical evaluation of methods. Global change biology 21, 20402054.
- Poulter, B., Pederson, N., Liu, H., Zhu, Z., D'Arrigo, R., Ciais, P., Davi, N., Frank, D.,
 Leland, C., Myneni, R., Piao, S., Wang, T., 2013. Recent trends in Inner Asian forest
 dynamics to temperature and precipitation indicate high sensitivity to climate change.
 Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 178-179, 31-45.
- Rathgeber, C.B., Cuny, H.E., Fonti, P., 2016. Biological basis of tree-ring formation: a crash
 course. Frontiers in plant science 7.
- Restaino, C.M., Peterson, D.L., Littell, J., 2016. Increased water deficit decreases Douglas fir
 growth throughout western US forests. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
 113, 9557-9562.
- Richardson, A.D., Carbone, M.S., Keenan, T.F., Czimczik, C.I., Hollinger, D.Y., Murakami,
 P., Schaberg, P.G., Xu, X., 2013. Seasonal dynamics and age of stemwood nonstructural
 carbohydrates in temperate forest trees. New Phytologist 197, 850-861.
- Rollinson, C.R., Kaye, M.W., Canham, C.D., 2016. Interspecific variation in growth
 responses to climate and competition of five eastern tree species. Ecology 97, 1003-1011.
- Rollinson, C.R., Liu, Y., Raiho, A., Moore, D.J., McLachlan, J., Bishop, D.A., Dye, A.,
 Matthes, J.H., Hessl, A., Hickler, T., 2017. Emergent climate and CO2 sensitivities of net
 primary productivity in ecosystem models do not agree with empirical data in temperate
- 1496 forests of eastern North America. Global change biology.

- Rossi, S., Anfodillo, T., Čufar, K., Cuny, H.E., Deslauriers, A., Fonti, P., Frank, D., Gričar,
 J., Gruber, A., Huang, J.G., 2016. Pattern of xylem phenology in conifers of cold
 ecosystems at the Northern Hemisphere. Global change biology 22, 3804-3813.
- Salzer, M.W., Hughes, M.K., Bunn, A.G., Kipfmueller, K.F., 2009. Recent unprecedented
 tree-ring growth in bristlecone pine at the highest elevations and possible causes.
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 106, 20348-20353.
- Salzer, M.W., Larson, E.R., Bunn, A.G., Hughes, M.K., 2014. Changing climate response in near-treeline bristlecone pine with elevation and aspect. Environmental Research Letters 9, 114007.
- Sánchez-Salguero, R., Linares, J.C., Camarero, J.J., Madrigal-González, J., Hevia, A.,
 Sánchez-Miranda, Á., Ballesteros-Cánovas, J.A., Alfaro-Sánchez, R., García-Cervigón,
 A.I., Bigler, C., 2015. Disentangling the effects of competition and climate on individual
 tree growth: a retrospective and dynamic approach in Scots pine. Forest Ecology and
 Management 358, 12-25.
- Sangüesa-Barreda, G., Camarero, J.J., García-Martín, A., Hernández, R., de la Riva, J., 2014.
 Remote-sensing and tree-ring based characterization of forest defoliation and growth loss due to the Mediterranean pine processionary moth. Forest Ecology and Management 320, 171-181.
- Scholes, R.J., 2017. Taking the mumbo out of the jumbo: progress towards a robust basis forecological scaling. Ecosystems 20, 4-13.
- Schwalm, C.R., Anderegg, W.R., Michalak, A.M., Fisher, J.B., Biondi, F., Koch, G., Litvak,
 M., Ogle, K., Shaw, J.D., Wolf, A., 2017. Global patterns of drought recovery. Nature
 548, 202.
- Schwalm, C.R., Williams, C.A., Schaefer, K., Baldocchi, D., Black, T.A., Goldstein, A.H.,
 Law, B.E., Oechel, W.C., Scott, R.L., 2012. Reduction in carbon uptake during turn of the
 century drought in western North America. Nature Geoscience 5, 551-556.
- Seftigen, K., Frank, D.C., Björklund, J., Babst, F., Poulter, B., in press, The climatic drivers of NDVI and tree-ring based estimates of forest productivity are spatially coherent but temporally decoupled in Northern Hemispheric forests. Global Ecology and Biogeography.
- Seim, A., Treydte, K., Trouet, V., Frank, D., Fonti, P., Tegel, W., Panayotov, M.,
 Fernández Donado, L., Krusic, P., Büntgen, U., 2015. Climate sensitivity of
 Mediterranean pine growth reveals distinct east-west dipole. International journal of
 climatology 35, 2503-2513.
- Serra-Diaz, J.M., Enquist, B.J., Maitner, B., Merow, C., Svenning, J.-C., 2017. Big data of
 tree species distributions: how big and how good? Forest Ecosystems 4, 30.
- Shi, J., Liu, Y., Vaganov, E.A., Li, J., Cai, Q., 2008. Statistical and process based modeling
 analyses of tree growth response to climate in semi arid area of north central China: A
 case study of Pinus tabulaeformis. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences 113.
- Shishov, V.V., Tychkov, I.I., Popkova, M.I., Ilyin, V.A., Bryukhanova, M.V., Kirdyanov,
 A.V., 2016. VS-oscilloscope: A new tool to parameterize tree radial growth based on
 climate conditions. Dendrochronologia 39, 42-50.
- Sitch, S., Friedlingstein, P., Gruber, N., Jones, S., Murray-Tortarolo, G., Ahlström, A.,
 Doney, S.C., Graven, H., Heinze, C., Huntingford, C., 2015. Recent trends and drivers of
 regional sources and sinks of carbon dioxide. Biogeosciences 12, 653-679.
- St George, S., Ault, T.R., 2014. The imprint of climate within Northern Hemisphere trees.
 Quaternary Science Reviews 89, 1-4.
- Stevens, D.W., 1975. A computer program for simulating cambial activity and ring growth.Tree-Ring Bulletin.

- Stokes, M.A., Smiley, T.L., 1968. An introduction to tree-ring dating. University of Chicago,
 Chicago, Reprinted 1996. University of Arizona Press, Tucson.
- Sullivan, P.F., Csank, A.Z., 2016. Contrasting sampling designs among archived datasets:
 implications for synthesis efforts. Tree physiology 36, 1057-1059.
- Swetnam, T.W., Allen, C.D., Betancourt, J.L., 1999. Applied historical ecology: using the
 past to manage for the future. Ecological applications 9, 1189-1206.
- Tang, L., Shao, G., 2015. Drone remote sensing for forestry research and practices. Journal of
 Forestry Research 26, 791-797.
- Teets, A., Fraver, S., Weiskittel, A.R., Hollinger, D.Y., 2018. Quantifying climate-growth
 relationships at the stand level in a mature mixed species conifer forest. Global change
 biology.
- Tei, S., Sugimoto, A., Yonenobu, H., Matsuura, Y., Osawa, A., Sato, H., Fujinuma, J.,
 Maximov, T., 2017. Tree ring analysis and modeling approaches yield contrary response
 of circumboreal forest productivity to climate change. Global Change Biology.
- Tolwinski-Ward, S., Evans, M.N., Hughes, M.K., Anchukaitis, K.J., 2011. An efficient
 forward model of the climate controls on interannual variation in tree-ring width. Climate
 Dynamics 36, 2419-2439.
- Touchan, R., Shishov, V., Meko, D., Nouiri, I., Grachev, A., 2012. Process based model
 sheds light on climate sensitivity of Mediterranean tree-ring width. Biogeosciences 9, 965.
- Trotsiuk, V., Svoboda, M., Weber, P., Pederson, N., Klesse, S., Janda, P., Martin-Benito, D.,
 Mikolas, M., Seedre, M., Bace, R., 2016. The legacy of disturbance on individual tree and
 stand-level aboveground biomass accumulation and stocks in primary mountain Picea
 abies forests. Forest Ecology and Management 373, 108-115.
- Trouet, V., Babst, F., Meko, M., 2018. Recent enhanced high-summer North Atlantic Jet
 variability emerges from three-century context. Nature Communications 9, 180.
- Trouet, V., Mukelabai, M., Verheyden, A., Beeckman, H., 2012. Cambial growth season of
 brevi-deciduous Brachystegia spiciformis trees from South Central Africa restricted to less
 than four months. PLoS One 7, e47364.
- Tucker, C.J., Pinzon, J.E., Brown, M.E., Slayback, D.A., Pak, E.W., Mahoney, R., Vermote,
 E.F., El Saleous, N., 2005. An extended AVHRR 8 km NDVI dataset compatible with
 MODIS and SPOT vegetation NDVI data. International Journal of Remote Sensing 26,
 4485-4498.
- Vaganov, E.A., Hughes, M.K., Shashkin, A.V., 2006. Growth Dynamics of Conifer Tree
 Rings: Images of Past and Future Environments Springer, New York.
- van der Maaten-Theunissen, M., Bouriaud, O., 2012. Climate-growth relationships at
 different stem heights in silver fir and Norway spruce. Canadian Journal of Forest
 Research 42, 958-969.
- Vicente-Serrano, S.M., Camarero, J.J., Olano, J.M., Martín-Hernández, N., Peña-Gallardo,
 M., Tomás-Burguera, M., Gazol, A., Azorin-Molina, C., Bhuyan, U., El Kenawy, A.,
 2016. Diverse relationships between forest growth and the Normalized Difference
 Vegetation Index at a global scale. Remote Sensing of Environment 187, 14-29.
- Vicente-Serrano, S.M., Gouveia, C., Camarero, J.J., Beguería, S., Trigo, R., López-Moreno,
 J.I., Azorín-Molina, C., Pasho, E., Lorenzo-Lacruz, J., Revuelto, J., 2013. Response of
 vegetation to drought time-scales across global land biomes. Proceedings of the National
 Academy of Sciences 110, 52-57.
- von Arx, G., Crivellaro, A., Prendin, A.L., Čufar, K., Carrer, M., 2016. Quantitative wood
 anatomy—practical guidelines. Frontiers in plant science 7.
- Wagner, B., Ginzler, C., Bürgi, A., Santini, S., Gärtner, H., 2017. An annually-resolved stem
 growth tool based on 3D laser scans and 2D tree-ring data. Trees, 1-12.

- Westoby, M., Brasington, J., Glasser, N., Hambrey, M., Reynolds, J., 2012. 'Structure-fromMotion'photogrammetry: A low-cost, effective tool for geoscience applications.
 Geomorphology 179, 300-314.
- Wigley, T.M.L., Briffa, K.R., Jones, P.D., 1984. ON THE AVERAGE VALUE OF
 CORRELATED TIME-SERIES, WITH APPLICATIONS IN
 DENDROCLIMATOLOGY AND HYDROMETEOROLOGY. Journal of Climate and
 Applied Meteorology 23, 201-213.
- Wilkinson, S., Ogée, J., Domec, J.-C., Rayment, M., Wingate, L., 2015. Biophysical
 modelling of intra-ring variations in tracheid features and wood density of Pinus pinaster
 trees exposed to seasonal droughts. Tree physiology 35, 305-318.
- Williams, A.P., Allen, C.D., Macalady, A.K., Griffin, D., Woodhouse, C.A., Meko, D.M.,
 Swetnam, T.W., Rauscher, S.A., Seager, R., Grissino-Mayer, H.D., 2013. Temperature as
 a potent driver of regional forest drought stress and tree mortality. Nature Climate Change
 3, 292-297.
- Wilson, B., 1973. A diffusion model for tracheid production and enlargement in conifers.
 Botanical Gazette 134, 189-196.
- Wilson, B.F., Howard, R.A., 1968. A computer model for cambial activity. Forest Science14, 77-90.
- Wilson, R., Anchukaitis, K., Briffa, K.R., Büntgen, U., Cook, E., D'arrigo, R., Davi, N.,
 Esper, J., Frank, D., Gunnarson, B., 2016. Last millennium northern hemisphere summer
 temperatures from tree rings: Part I: The long term context. Quaternary Science Reviews
 134, 1-18.
- Wu, X., Liu, H., Li, X., Ciais, P., Babst, F., Guo, W., Zhang, C., Magliulo, V., Pavelka, M.,
 Liu, S., 2017. Differentiating drought legacy effects on vegetation growth over the
 temperate Northern Hemisphere. Global change biology.
- Zhang, Y., Shao, X., Xu, Y., Wilmking, M., 2011. Process-based modeling analyses of
 Sabina przewalskii growth response to climate factors around the northeastern Qaidam
 Basin. Chinese Science Bulletin 56, 1518-1525.
- Zhang, Z., Babst, F., Bellassen, V., Frank, D., Launois, T., Tan, K., Ciais, P., Poulter, B.,
 Converging Climate Sensitivities of European Forests Between Observed Radial Tree
 Growth and Vegetation Models. Ecosystems, 1-16.
- 1626 Zhang, Z., Babst, F., Bellassen, V., Frank, D., Launois, T., Tan, K., Ciais, P., Poulter, B.,
 1627 2017. Converging Climate Sensitivities of European Forests Between Observed Radial
 1628 Tree Growth and Vegetation Models. Ecosystems.
- Zhang, Z., Babst, F., Bellassen, V., Frank, D., Launois, T., Tan, K., Ciais, P., Poulter, B.,
 2018. Converging climate sensitivities of European forests between observed radial tree
 growth and vegetation models. Ecosystems 21, 410-425.
- Zhu, Z., Piao, S., Myneni, R.B., Huang, M., Zeng, Z., Canadell, J.G., Ciais, P., Sitch, S.,
 Friedlingstein, P., Arneth, A., 2016. Greening of the Earth and its drivers. Nature climate
 change 6, 791-795.
- 1635 Zuidema, P.A., Frank, D., 2015. Forests: Tree rings track climate trade-offs. Nature 523, 531.
- 1636

Appendix A: Supplementary figure





Figure S1: Gridded tree-ring width anomalies (increment) between 2006-2010 for the six
tree genera that occur most frequently in the International Tree Ring Data Bank. The maps
were produced using the random decision forest approach presented in Figure 2 of the main
manuscript.